

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-n5pg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6489

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8joh-uhgb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6490

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Plyler

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-y4cd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6491

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: PM Chastain

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-vcfu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6492

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: thomas zaloga

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8joh-48ok
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6493

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Holder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jog-zesg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6494

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Clifford Braun

Address:

3821 S Ouray

Aurora, CO, 80013

Email: Squibnic@icloud.com

Phone: 3033562252

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Clifford J Braun

Law abiding U.S. Citizen, voter, and defender of LIBERTY!

"STOP THE MADNESS!"

P.S. I suggest you read and understand the constitution of the United States of America, the finest document ever written !!!!!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jog-3214
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6495

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: sherry mainquist

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or

not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jog-v6wo
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6496

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Garnick

Address:

Robert garnick

Lad vegas, NV, 89129

Email: Garnickrob@aol.com

Phone: 6508633589

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jog-8yby
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6497

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Anonymous

Submitter's Representative: Tim Williams

Organization: U.S.P.S

Government Agency Type: Federal

Government Agency: ATF

General Comment

To whom it may concern: I strongly oppose the updates to the State Department arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ... The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jog-nl2m
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6499

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daren Hurlbut

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jog-knz4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6500

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Howard Edison

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jog-z7g9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6501

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Lee

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jog-5gdv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6502

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Long

Address:

1431 1/2 high st

Logansport, 46947

Email: imp398@yahoo.com

Phone: 7654756161

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jog-7vos
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6503

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Gibson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-yfps
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6504

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ken Ramey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jof-ozql Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6505

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Jensen

Address:

114 Sinclair Rd

Northville, NY, 12134

Email: jeffulator@yahoo.com

Phone: 5188634961

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-ctmn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6507

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jon thompson

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-34n5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6508

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brent Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or

not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

You people just don't get it, We the People are never going to give up our guns. Guns are the only thing between Free Men and tyranny. The State Department is in the process of giving Iran nukes and you want to take our shotguns, hunting rifles and pistols. For Christ's sake, John Kerry can't even ride a bicycle without crashing it.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jof-w3gg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6511

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tyrone Hogston

Address:

1535 N. Normandy Blvd.
Deltona, 32725

Email: tyhogston@gmail.com

Phone: 313-212-7717

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-4s7t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6512

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Keith Flagg

Address:

1232 Lipowitz Ave.

Crescent City, CA, 95531

Email: Keitherd51@gmail.com

Phone: 7079514167

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-c9zd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6516

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JL Chastain

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-wh05
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6517

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ruben Rodriguez

Address:

3008 South 96th Street
Fort Smith, AR, 72903

Email: commnavone@hotmail.com

Phone: 4796514824

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first and second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8jof-k6io
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6518

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: GARY SANDERSFELD

Address:

7060 BECKINGTON LANE

SAN DIEGO, CA, 92139

Email: GWSANDER1@ATT.NET

Phone: 619-267-6351

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government

license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-8q9m
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6519

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-8wrb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6520

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Laine

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Scott Laine

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jof-cl99
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6522

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-822a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6523

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mickey Brundage

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jof-bw39
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6524

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ADC P.G. Coulombe USN/Ret

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-i0m9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6525

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-xqsi
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6526

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Florek

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8joe-lvxp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6529

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I demand that you repeal these new regulations in their entirety because, whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-kogw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6530

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lawrence Sangder

Address:

9646 Renton Ave So

Seattle, WA, 98118

Email: fuzzface7@juno.com

Phone: 2062456952

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-7apj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6531

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randall Overly

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-t719
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6532

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Kusler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-js43
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6533

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Caleb Yates

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-gevf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6534

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George P

Address:

1021 Alfred dr
Winterpark, FL, 32810

Email: Georgeportela24@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-7fyb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6535

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-xd4d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6536

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: T. Stafford

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-4m1r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6537

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Don Burris

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-iv4t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6538

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-zhw9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6539

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-9out
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6540

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ralph Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-chg9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6541

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Nation

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8joe-51rj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6542

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kathy Davis

Address:

4314 Hwy 27 S. Trlr 118

Sulphur, LA, 70665

Email: purpleviolets1952@yahoo.com

Phone: 337-558-6021

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-bqro
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6544

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8joe-w65a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6545

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Tomlinson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-qnc1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6546

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Lauro

General Comment

to whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-fu8a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6547

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dallas Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-h9op
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6549

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gordon Blancett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-lsvc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6550

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Feudo

Address:

P.O. Box 36

Sadler, TX, 76264

Email: oilyjohn51@yahoo.com

Phone: 903-357-8490

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-tgob Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6551

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Woods

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-clqo
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6552

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-499p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6554

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Doug Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-p8ea
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6555

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: CL de STEFANO

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-s5zk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6556

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sharon LaDuke

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-ldi4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6557

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: david charlton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-qpv8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6558

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russell Norman

Address:

P.O. ox 700

Diboll, TX, 75941

Email: rsn0rman@yahoo.com

Phone: 9660508200719

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government

license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-y91t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6559

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I whole heartedly agree with this comment from a previous person... word for word.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jod-110f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6560

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Scianna

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo9-p6uv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6564

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo6-75wd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6565

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Duncan McAfee

General Comment

I strongly oppose this change to the ITAR regulations as it seems rife with opportunity for governmental abuse.

It seems like a roundabout way to stifle second amendment rights by TRAMPLING the first.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers and encryption.

I urge you to repeal the new regulations ENTIRELY, as it's a violation of first and second amendment rights.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 28, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jo6-3ldz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6566

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shawn Grammont

Address:

14511 Banquo Terrace
Silver Spring, MD, 20906

Email: Green17842@msn.com

Phone: 2407010650

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 28, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo5-w0yb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6567

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Campbell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo3-capw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6570

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo3-9nq7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6571

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jo0-j7fx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6575

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rodney White

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnz-r020
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6578

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-v7sv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6585

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Patrick Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-sow5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6586

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Danial Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 27, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-c49r Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6587

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald & Rosetta Leach

General Comment

Simply cut-and-paste the text below into the online comment box on that page.

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----
(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Please Preserve our America, don't let us be denied Freedoms that were the reason for America's birth!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-vs95
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6588

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jason Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-o0sj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6589

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Tracy Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-4mxu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6590

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marion Jordan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Marion Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnv-4u2p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6591

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Jordan

Address:

415 Sky Eagle Rd.
Reno, NV, 89510

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Timothy Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 27, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jnr-wrul Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6593

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Cahill

Address:

352 Amsterdam Avenue
Bayville, NJ, 08721-2902

Email: jcprod@comcast.net

Phone: 732-269-0100

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 27, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jnp-wbxy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6599

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Garnet Hildebrandt

Address:

69 Forest Hills Drive
Levant, ME, 04456

Email: gmandga@roadrunner.com

Phone: 207-884-8211

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnp-ohd0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6600

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnl-16gh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6606

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Alger

Address:

3337 n Yuma st

Kingman, AZ, 86401

Email: ticklestick59@gmail.com

Phone: 9283779934

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnk-pqw0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6607

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sharon Wight

Address:

2016 Barnaby Drive

Loves Park, IL, 61111

Email: sharon1641@aol.com

Phone: 1-815-633-7481

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jni-a3nf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6608

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phyllis Prather

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnh-838p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6609

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce brayx262@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 27, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnh-67js
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6610

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnf-2azp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6613

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnf-ixsp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6615

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnf-c7bd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6617

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jnf-hoar
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6618

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: R. Schneider

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jne-9gro
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6620

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Luther Miller

Address:

242 Rosemary Ln
Ragley, LA, 70657

Email: lmiller002@centurytel.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jne-ev2v
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6621

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Shimamoto

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnd-fh80
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6622

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: lisa Parobek

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes *“making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)”* -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word “software” into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnc-ymw6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6625

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jnc-8qhg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6626

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Dager

Address:

138 Lincoln St.

Apt. B

Westfield, PA, 16950

Email: elektron10@verizon.net

Phone: 814-367-2698

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Additionally, there are people who are members of marksmanship clubs and this would also subject those who compete to prison and fines, simply for contacting the manufacturer(s) of their weapon(s) to consult on some technical aspect of their weapon(s). There are other sporting clubs and matches across this country who communicate with their membership over the internet to inform them about their club or group activities. The governing people of those groups would be subject to fines, imprisonment or both. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! The second amendment was needed to prevent tyranny by the government. It is NOT a suggestion, it is enumerated as a RIGHT, as is the free speech provision of the first amendment, also whether you like it or not.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnb-3m9i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6630

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnb-j4wi
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6631

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: kenneth beveridge

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you,

Kenneth Beveridge

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jnb-a9v7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6632

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jnb-ngvh Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6633

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rev Samuel Barnett

Address:

3400 E Godard Rd Apt30A

Cottonwood, AZ, 86326

Email: rvsam999@gmail.com

Phone: 928 634 0905

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jna-2qxn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6634

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Saggese

Address:

529 Cokesbury road
Annandale, NJ, 08801

Email: cmls2015@comcast.net

Phone: 908-617-5385

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jna-4b83
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6635

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Moran

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jna-d4x8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6636

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Danny Spaulding
Address: United States,
Email: dspauld78@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jna-56q3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6638

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Heather Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

To whom it may concern: I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn9-kef6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6639

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn9-jatk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6640

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lawrence Corsi

Address:

PMB 845 / 11012 Canyon Rd. E.

Ste. 8

Puyallup, WA, 98373

Email: camperman2001@msn.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn9-rzv3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6641

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Benjamin Bell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn9-a08a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6642

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Driver

Address:

1512 E. 10th St.

The Dalles, OR, 97058

Email: oregondriver7@gmail.com

Phone: 541-296-3055

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

David L. Driver

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn9-3z1p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6643

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Al Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn8-w084 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6646

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffery Patterson

Address:

5757 Sculptor Ct

5757 Sculptor Ct

Sun Valley, NV, 89433

Email: jeffery5757@att.net

Phone: 775-674-9011

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn8-wiz5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6647

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: NICHOLAS LIOTTI

Address:

6608 Gates Pointe Way
RIVERVIEW, FL, 33578

Email: Nliotti2@verizon.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn8-e7iv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6648

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carl Fusco

Address:

3604 Adirondack Ct.

Virginia Beach, VA, 23452

Email: dr_carlfusco@yahoo.com

Phone: 757-478-4455

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Dr. Carl Fusco, MD NMD

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn7-mro9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6649

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brenda McQueen

Address:

507 Holiday Avenue
Eaton, OH, 45320-1213

Email: minxangel@hotmail.com

Phone: 9374560112

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jn7-imai
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6653

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Therriault

Address:

9 Butler Street
Salem, NH, 03079

Email: dtherria101@comcast.net

Phone: 6038935624

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8jn7-7y6v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6654

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ruth Quartuccio

Address:

3206 Route 206

Columbus, NJ, 08022

Email: rso2@comcast.net

Phone: 609-298-5111

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn6-do41 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6658

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Kaiser

Address:

17937 W. Westpark Blvd

Surprise, AZ, 85388

Email: const_matls_perf_mgr@q.com

Phone: 602-717-1174

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn6-k6ia
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6659

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Evan Goforth

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn5-89ei
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6660

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Goodman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jn5-nl5o Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6661

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Owens

Address:

230 Candlewood Drive

Conway, 29526-8983

Email: poppydee22@gmail.com

Phone: 843-234-3234

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn5-3wpw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6662

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Bullock

Address:

96 Township Road 1093

Chesapeake, OH, 45619

Email: ohiodude2001@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn5-1d3d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6665

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-1i9m
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6668

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jan Lance

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-ug2u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6669

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Phillips

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

We The People will pursue all legal means to keep our Constitutional freedoms and gain back those that have been taken already and ensure that any politician or government employee or bureaucrat that wants to remain employed upholds the Constitution in its entirety.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-uuy0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6670

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nancy Sexton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

From me-----Everything Obama does is for CONTROL.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-r5lc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6671

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ray Jones

Address:

PO Box 185

Dale, TX, 78616-0185

Email: rdj@dalecomp.com

Phone: 5127642302

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-z7is
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6673

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Franklin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn4-4b63
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6675

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Atchison

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you, Paul

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-5vbu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6678

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Berndt

Address:

7367 W 60th St

Fremont, MI, 49412

Email: huntrdoc@gmail.com

Phone: (231) 924-0144

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

"Laws that forbid the carrying of arms disarm only those who are neither inclined nor determined to commit crimes. Such laws make things worse for the assaulted and better for the assailants; they serve rather to encourage than to prevent homicides, for an unarmed man may be attacked with greater confidence than an armed man." Thomas Jefferson, quoting Cesare Beccaria in *On Crimes and punishment*, 1764.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jn3-wlmm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6679

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rick Fancher

Address:

1936 Hwy 499

Chatham, LA, 71226

Email: rick@wellbenders.com

Phone: 318 8054228

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-h7iz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6682

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Vasconcellos

Address:

107 Caldwell Dr

Brandon, FL, 33510

Email: butters9th@yahoo.com

Phone: 8135023444

General Comment

Thank You For Signing

Step 1 of The Petition!Here's what you must do now to finish

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)-- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any

future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-7opk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6683

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Davis

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-zj0c Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6684

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kerry Willis

Address:

17 Briarwood Ct.

Indian Head Park, IL, 60525

Email: duke1001@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 708-603-1959

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-j626
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6686

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: gregg Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-ox9o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6687

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-qn9c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6688

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven DeCanter

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or

not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

I would really like to know what is wrong with the leadership of this nation. It has become so evident that power and control has become the rule of the day instead of freedom and liberty for all. These issues are not about security and safety of the people, it is about reliving histories of the greed for control and power that brings about the decline of world powers. As usual, we have learned nothing from history.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-zm62
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6689

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Boyd

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn3-cugh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6690

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rose Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-kpyz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6691

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: D.M. De Haven

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Regards,

D.M. De Haven

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-izba
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6692

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-libf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6693

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-ute2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6694

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-ar5u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6695

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Anderson

Address:

3015 Mallard Point

Island Lake, IL, 60042

Email: Toolmanrob@comcast.net

Phone: 847-702-1070

General Comment

TAKE ACTION!

You can submit comments to the State Department to let them know you oppose the proposed arms control regulations by clicking [here](#). Simply cut-and-paste the text below into the online comment box on that page.

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing

decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-sx34
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6696

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: josh rush

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-q75y Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6697

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-mz85
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6699

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederic Suess

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging abuse of power, to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license, which is a bunch of regulatory CRAP, designed to CONTROL, and to turn law abiding citizens into common criminals.... More Laws create MORE CRIMINALS....

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the first and second amendments are still the law of the land, and as of 8:57am today, June 26, 2015, I still live in AMERICA! Thank you for your time...

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-3kwv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6700

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenna Bergman

Address:

gg2be@yahoo.com
208 n jackson st
Anamosa, IA, 52205

Email: gg2be@yahoo.com

Phone: 3196933065

General Comment

(Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-bhki
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6701

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Elizabeth Pearson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-htl9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6704

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

UGAR LLC | 2215 Plank Road #111 | Fredericksburg, VA 22401 | United States

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-hl56
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6705

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marlane Beasley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn2-g6iq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6706

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: HARRY LESLIE

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or

not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

President of PreparedGunOwners.com

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-7lg8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6709

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Chichester

Address:

100 King St
Aliquippa, PA, 15001

Email: jonchi1@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jn1-adxd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6710

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Rosen

General Comment

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license with violators facing serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

You know that these regulations will be challenged as violations of the US Constitution under both the First and Second Amendments. The Constitution is THE LAW OF THE LAND.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-89fj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6712

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Copy and Paste The Below To the State Department's Comment Page)

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle

effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

I'll keep you informed of our progress with this matter as we continue to fight these Gun Grabbers!

For Our Freedoms,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-7u3f Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6713

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Brown

Address:

1114 Molly Lane

Eugene, OR, 97404

Email: brownink08@gmail.com

Phone: 5415543297

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! You DO remember the law of the land, RIGHT? It's called The Constitution of The United States of America!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 26, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-pizr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6714

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Floyd Maples

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone else agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Floyd Maples
281-659-3517
floydmaples@yahoo.com

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-sr47
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6715

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Williamson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-asqu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6716

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dow Bancroft

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-kfev
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6717

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William H. Sims Se. Anonymous

Address:

1316 5th Avenue North

Bessemer, AL,

Email: wsims1@bham.rr.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-ulqo
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6718

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: TED KELLY

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

That's it!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-lnpg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6719

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lee Vail

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-fczl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6720

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Maurice F. Leonardo

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-jbkq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6722

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Hebaus

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-ad5t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6723

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Kaspar

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn1-3975
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6724

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerry Miller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jn0-41g6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6726

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jay Depew

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the updates to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially give the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

These new rewrites strongly infringe upon every American's first AND second amendment rights ...

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this (very broad) language in danger of facing decades in prison and crushing fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama (or any future) administration as requiring--not only government permission--but also potentially a government license. And violators could face serious criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-subtle effort to affect 3-D printers and the software that is utilized by them.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety because whether you or anyone elses agrees or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jmt-s2lv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6732

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Hatuey Cacique

Address:

6190 West 19th Ave # 103
Hialeah, FL, 33012

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

The statements above were not originally worded by me, but does contain my point of view. Thank you for reading my feedback.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 25, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jmq-guab
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6736

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Moore

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Moore

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 25, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jmp-b369 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6739

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Travis Simpson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 25, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jmk-yavq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6743

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Taylor

Address:

1300 East Marcelle Street
Compton, CA, 90221

Email: benrus5@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

Eric Taylor

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 25, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jmg-p803
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6751

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 25, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jmf-whq8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6752

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Boyd

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, William Boyd

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlz-unqi
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6763

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Brown

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8j1w-l5dg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6765

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlu-rqvw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6767

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenton Barlow

Address:

1600 West 10th st.
Muncie, IN, 47302

Phone: 7652864237

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlu-9naf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6770

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 24, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jlt-whim Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6771

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eugene Bartley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlq-baeh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6775

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alex Stambaugh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlq-mewl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6776

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Fazio

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlp-apak
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6777

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Leferink

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8jll-xln7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6779

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Hancock

Address:

Arlington, VA,

General Comment

Dear Department of State Reg Writers:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

Sincerely,

Jason M. Hancock

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8j1h-mmmz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6781

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Kraklau

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jle-jg8d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6787

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rodney W

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jle-w41c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6788

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: End Tyranny

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jle-uu0h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6789

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John David Dykeman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John David Dykeman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 23, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jle-h4o4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6792

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Cline

Address:

2749 Diamond Loop

Condo# C

Milton, WA, 98354

Email: phred426@msn.com

Phone: 253-927-0510

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely;
Fred Cline

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jl9-7jee
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6802

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jl8-wd4o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6803

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robin Loebel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jl6-96qc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6804

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jl6-kvg4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6805

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Pease

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James Pease

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 23, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jl3-wa78 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6812

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Henry Nymann

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 23, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jll-dony Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6815

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dana Leech

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jl0-nxpq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6816

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Camponi

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 23, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkt-mj7y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6818

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: L. Loughlin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 23, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jkt-ww4b Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6819

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Loughlin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkp-2pvv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6828

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkn-pkcc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6830

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkn-30fg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6831

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkl-vp9z
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6834

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Gubanic

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkk-6fkp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6836

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Maureen Janson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkj-6lp0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6838

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Bajackson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkj-u49o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6840

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Gamb

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which would grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language, which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet), could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties and is obviously ridiculous in our free society.

I also oppose the addition of the word 'software' into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Repeal these new regulations in their entirety! Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jkg-ik8l
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6847

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Cooley

General Comment

Behave yourselves,

I am against the proposed rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which would grant the State Department additional, illegal, powers to monitor and control firearms and firearms-related speech. Such legislation is a violation of the Constitution, which is meant to serve as a limit to the power of government. That is to say, you are not allowed to put such regulations into law, and any such regulation is not law.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. These are people who's only "crime" is to exercise their human rights, without posing any harm or danger to others.

This would allow the government to classify free-speech activities as a thought-crime - we would not be "allowed" to communicate with one another unless it was sanctioned and licensed by a government agency. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties, simply because they did not fill out arbitrary paperwork. It is illegal for you to do this, as it infringes on our Constitutionally-protected rights to free speech and self-defense.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it is obviously an open-and-notorious effort to ban 3-D printers and other forms of computer numerical control (CNC). This will have unintended consequences for manufacturing and industry in America, leading to the loss of jobs.

Repeal these new regulations. You do not have any powers beyond those specifically enumerated, and you are further required, by law, to guarantee and protect our natural human rights.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jk2-122g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6864

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Samuel Crumpton

Address:

1518 16th Street

Huntsville, TX, 77340

Email: semperlisto@live.com

Phone: 9365816198

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Samuel Crumpton

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjz-x5ul
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6870

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sandra Pierre

Address:

997 S Stark Hwy
Weare, NH, 03281

Email: scitarel@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing years in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jjy-734c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6872

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marion Jordan

Address:

415 Sky Eagle Rd.
Reno, 89510

Email: hilltopnv@gmail.com

Phone: 7759973755

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Marion Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jyy-frmw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6873

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Jordan

Address:

415 Sky Eagle Rd.

Reno, NV, 89510

Email: hilltopnv@gmail.com

Phone: 7759973755

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Timothy Jordan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jju-4l0w
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6878

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
A concerned citizen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jju-jgqo
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6879

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dale Kosinar

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjs-bmpr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6881

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Zelma Martin-Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjs-zr74
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6883

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Duncan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jpp-84wl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6886

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Griffin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjo-o271
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6890

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjf-7ehi
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6899

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jje-r18n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6901

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Bean

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David Bean

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jjc-d20n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6909

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darren M Spates

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 20, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jjc-stpv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6910

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Martin

Address:

7265 Orlee ST SE

Caledonia, MI, 49316

Email: jemartin89@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 20, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jjb-fmye Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6911

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bobby Murphy

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bon Murphy

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jj6-uubt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: API

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6922

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve French

Organization: Sovereign Citizen

General Comment

Is there any truth to the pro-gun activists assertions that these changes will affect online discussions about firearm specs, reloading, techniques, and reviews? Will this effectively ban the plans for Cody Wilson's Liberator or any similar print at home firearms?

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jj5-7ksj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6925

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carol Himes

Address:

HC 71 Box 44D2
Augusta, WV, 26704

General Comment

I vehemently oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jj1-okji
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6933

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Henry Bookhardt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jiz-aag1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6935

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 20, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jis-zye9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6944

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Louis Schreiber

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jiq-ga0u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6947

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lucas Torres

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Lucas Torres

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jio-gp5h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6951

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randolph Peters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 19, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jin-nzkw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6952

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Marquardt

Address:

745 Sunset Boulevard

Palmyra, 68418

Email: mmarquardt@gmail.com

Phone: 402-326-0233

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8jil-4htj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6957

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jil-9dmf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6962

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Shvartzeh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jij-vu8f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6967

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Trenton Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 19, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8jig-rwtm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6976

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gordon Read

Address:

297 Noland Dr

Hamilton, MT, 59840

Email: ichthus@cybernet1.com

Phone: 496-360-2106

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jie-8v4l
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6977

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Willis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jie-id26
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6978

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jid-4wtp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6979

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lori Evanger

Address:

3572 West 21st Pl.

Yuma, AZ, 85364-5939

Email: tal.evanger@yahoo.com

Phone: 2537974963

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jic-xesm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-6985

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji4-j9wn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7000

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: M Forest

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji3-nh6v
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7003

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

CH
Florida

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji3-hejg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7004

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ivan Bisbee

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji2-hlfx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7006

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Roberts

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji1-fjkj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7010

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji1-px2z
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7013

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mr & Mrs Lewis Eagle

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ji0-nr1i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7015

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Perkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jhy-fdl3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7020

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jhy-reeh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7021

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Brown

Address:

306 county road 406
Abbeville, AL, 36310

Email: jacaruu@gmail.com

Phone: 334-618-6385

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jhw-m13g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7026

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlv-vsgm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7029

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address:

4511 E Burns st
Tucson, AZ, 85711

General Comment

I strongly oppose ITAR, the documents that restrict what gear, tech, and info can be exported outside the United States. The focus of these changes isnt on the hardware itself, but instead trying to lock down the information. The proposed changes seem to be pointed squarely at online exchanges which include technical specifications (gun reviews), schematics (3D printing diagrams), and training (RFs beloved Self Defense Tip series, and other articles). It seems like a pretty blatant attack on the First Amendment as a workaround to stifle the Second, to me. Heres what they propose:

Software is now a defense article. Encryption programs like TrueCrypt as well as 3D models of firearms would fall into this category.

Technical data is re-defined to include anything related to the development, production, operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a defense article (firearm). That basically includes every gun review, disassembly video, and how-to for cleaning a firearm ever produced. Theres an exemption for non-proprietary general systems descriptions and information on basic purpose or function of an item that would seem to specifically exempt reviews and basic training, but theres no telling what they mean by basic purpose or function. That ambiguity could be used against people to the tune of millions of dollars in fines, and thats downright frightening.

The change in the meaning of the word required in context that they propose would make technical information about a defense article on par with the article itself. So if I sent someone the technical drawings for an AR-15 bolt carrier, that would be equivalent in the eyes of the government to me having

exported an actual bolt carrier. It seems like this is another attempt to crack down on the distribution of technical diagrams for 3D printed guns, like Cody Wilsons Liberator.

An expanded definition of public domain now includes the internet, as well as any mechanism where further distribution of the information is not prohibited. They want to require anyone who wants to post technical data to this expanded definition of the public domain (forum posts on the internet, videos on YouTube, articles on gun blogs, old-school print magazines even) to apply to the government for permission before publication. If permission is not granted, the fines could range into the millions of dollars.

Posting information to the internet is now considered equivalent to exporting that information. Even if no one outside the US ever reads it.

Are you an American or a benedict arnold?

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jlv-an0c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7030

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Homyer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jyv-581x
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7032

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 18, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 13, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8jyv-gx67 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7034

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marcus & Jessica Carrell

Address:

740 Holland Ridge Dr

La Vergne, TN, 37086

Email: viscero@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Marcus & Jessica Carrell

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8jhu-alzr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7035

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: keith Razack

Address:

10716 125th street

Richmond Hill, 11419

Email: gundamx2201@aol.com

Phone: 3476173434

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: June 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 13, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jhu-7j0b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7038

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Peter Maginot

Address:

12752 Conway Lake Court

St. Louis, MO, 63141

Email: pmaginot@gmail.com

Phone: 314-205-8314

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 19, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k2h-g0tj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7063

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 19, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 21, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k2h-esjc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7064

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: A. Nonymous

General Comment

As proven by the failed attempt to regulate PGP, as well as the growth of the internet and the ease of exporting information across nation-state boundaries (whether on USB, SD Card or by electronic means) and attempt to regulate information is doomed to failure. All attempts just require those who wish to export the information to be more inventive on methodology, which they always are. The rise of torrents (P2P file sharing) renders all known methodology for stopping information sharing ineffective. The only way to truly stop it is to violate both the 1st & 4th amendments and would probably run up against the 9th & 10th amendments as well, which renders the entire issue moot. Information wants to be free... "You can't stop the signal Mal."

Since Defense Distributed was forced to pull its files offline, there are now hundreds of files out there (from other designers) on new designs far superior in every way. The proposed law change only makes criminals out of honest citizens, while disregarding the fact that there is no way to catch those who actually want to do damage to our nation.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 18, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 21, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8k1x-o89x
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7068

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Caleb Almond

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 18, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k1v-3pat Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7072

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern;

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations [ITAR] which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the internet.

The new language--which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network [e.g., the internet]" -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Weather you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 18, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k11-ch0p Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7080

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 17, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k1d-y1cx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7085

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I STRONGLY OPPOSE the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information (including owners manuals) on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 16, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k0p-lu54 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7091

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

If freedom of speech and freedom of the press is not excluded fully from this rewrite of ITARS rules it would be the biggest backdoor grab on the 1st amendment in memory. The State Department should take

much better consideration for free speech and journalists ability to publish what they feel is newsworthy before putting something like this forward even for debate, it puts their whole list objectives in question. If these accommodations are not fully and clearly met, these rules should be fought with every ounce of political will available and should not be allowed to pass under any circumstances.

There should be no ambiguity about each American's clear right to freedom of speech and freedom of the press in laws such as these. Anything else is just suspect and counter productive. I have had attorneys look at this and they still cannot figure out exactly where the intent of the law and the limits to it lies. Unacceptable in every sense of the word. This sounds like it could totally destroy defense journalism as a whole, muzzling the only thing standing between a runaway Pentagon and a legislature that is filled with special interests and log-rolling.

Once again, I would start from scratch if I were the State Department and begin with totally securing the rights to free speech and the freedom of the press before outlining any focused changes they want make to ITARS laws.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 16, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 21, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8k0d-ghzv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7101

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Johnson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. In essence, posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the the current administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 14, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 21, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jz6-oucl Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7119

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Reiff

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Very Truly Yours,
Stephen J. Reiff

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 26, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k71-sd3e
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7154

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: NELINDA JAYNES

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 25, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k6q-sozw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7159

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous from MICHIGAN

General Comment

The State Departments International Traffic in Arms Regulations make it illegal to publish technical information on guns and ammunition "via a publicly available network (eg the Internet)". The regulations also make it illegal to distribute software on how guns and ammunition are made which is intended to outlaw 3-D printing of gun parts

Making it a crime to talk about guns and ammunition is a blatant violation of the First and Second Amendments!

This borders on Communism!!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 24, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k5q-5zp6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7171

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Trent Korstange

General Comment

In the United States we have a Constitution that grants individual freedoms and limits government. In Article II of this Constitution there is a "Treaty Clause" directed at both enabling and limiting the Executive Branch. It permits the President to propose and negotiate international treaties, but it limits the President from implementing them without a super majority of the United States Senate.

Because this administration (and no administration in the foreseeable future) will be able to secure a super majority in the United States Senate to sign on and subvert the 2nd Amendment to the UN Small Arms Treaty, it is resorting to "rule making", re-defining, and using the long arm of regulatory bureaucracy to achieve such an end. The manipulation of terms by simply redefining them for legal purposes is a two way street and an endless game. I suggest we simply deal in reality instead of manipulation to get what we want. Sometimes, when operating in reality, what you want simply cannot be had.

The rules are established. The definitions are set in stone. We have a precedent. There are no levers or pulleys in the system that permit the leverage to re-define a thing to simply achieve an agenda. We have a current administration that recently admitted that its greatest frustration is its inability to pass (here comes the insidious hook phrase) "common sense" gun laws. Well, common sense is what millions of firearms carrying Americans and law enforcement personal use every day. Accidents and tragedies happen, but we learned in 2nd grade that the teacher ought not punish the entire class for one individual's outlandish behavior...unless the teacher is engaging in manipulation of innocents to achieve a certain outcome. The parallel of changing ITAR definitions is undeniable. A thing is true when it says what is, is and what is not, is not. A thing is false when it says what is, is not; and what is not, is.

Here is a relevant example to get us where we are going: "Bruce Jenner is a woman". This is a false statement. It is saying what is not, is. Some modern thinkers have decided that if you tell yourself something over and over, for long enough that it becomes true when it is not. I would not deny anyone the liberty to make such statements or to think in such a way. I find it is rather entertaining that, even intelligent people believe they are actually changing reality. They are not. It is disheartening that our current leadership falls into this category.

To be clear, I support the existence of a regulatory framework like ITAR to prevent highly technical and classified information from falling into the hands of state or non-state enemies. Sadly, the Chinese have proven that the worst offender of ITAR regulations is the US government itself. It is a true statement to say that man portable small arms are a liberty guaranteed to un-prohibited Americans by an increasingly relevant 2nd Amendment, and that discussion of information surrounding the specs, components and construction of such arms (be it in person or in digital format) is protected by an increasingly relevant 1st Amendment. If the State Department (White House) seeks to re-define terms such as "public domain" and "data", they are simply engaging in legal manipulations and schoolyard politics. Even with the dawn of 3D printing, the proliferation of data that has existed in the public domain for decades ought to be met force on force; not by men in dresses. However, given the opportunity to take up arms in defense of themselves, they might discover that they are indeed men.

I oppose any and all changes to current definitions related to ITAR.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k4d-pt0h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7193

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration, or future administrations as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

What does "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances" mean if a bureaucracy like the State Department can circumvent the 1st Amendment by creating their own regulations without a single vote? That's not how the Constitution and the Bill of Rights was designed to work.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban the use of 3-D printers for firearms related parts and components..

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 22, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8k48-ekqq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7196

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration, or future administrations as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

What does "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances" mean if a bureaucracy like the State Department can circumvent the 1st Amendment by creating their own regulations without a single vote? That's not how the Constitution and the Bill of Rights was designed to work.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban the use of 3-D printers for firearms related parts and components..

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: July 21, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: July 28, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8k42-exw7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7199

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Benjamin Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration, or future administrations as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

What does "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances" mean if a bureaucracy like the State Department can circumvent the 1st Amendment by creating their own regulations without a single vote? That's not how the Constitution and the Bill of Rights was designed to work.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban the use of 3-D printers for firearms related parts and components..

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: July 21, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: July 28, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8k3y-fvf9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7200

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Scott

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-aty2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7203

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Concerned Citizen

General Comment

I oppose these regulations. They have not been voted upon by congressional representatives and only further complicate an already absurd litany of regulations related to lawful arms commerce and exchange of ideas, while doing nothing to protect US interests against entities that would ignore both the proposed regulations and established statute law.

The rules change should be put forth to congress in clear language that the citizenry that have to live under them can understand. There are already many concerns about these proposed regulations precisely because they are nigh indecipherable and apply to a broad base of citizenry who should be protected by the DoS rather than targeted.

To borrow from a specifically recommended comment:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the government as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators

would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

-

These regulations threaten expansion of government powers to things that are not even remotely related to the original intent of the law, and would not be voted upon by congress.

If congress were to vote on it, it would be voted down precisely for these concerns. That it is being passed as a regulation/rules change that will be carried out by force against citizens without representation tells us that it should not be enacted, and that if it is to be enacted, it is precisely the kind of thing that will draw congressional representatives to question what DoS is doing behind the peoples' backs.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-wf45
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7204

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-6gzz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7205

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-7kl6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7209

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Rowe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-etm9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7210

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Organization: Gun Owners of America

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-63mm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7211

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jaron Lake

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-jty6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7212

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Hassall

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Alan J. Hassall

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-nb4d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7213

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Wagner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Matthew Wagner

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-2bt1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7214

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Iozzino

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Steen Iozzino

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-n13i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7215

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Fanus

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-j5y0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7216

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Cons

Address:

169 Court St.
Lovington, VA, 22949

General Comment

To Whom It May Concern:

I most strongly OPPOSE the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and CONTROL gun related SPEECH on the internet.

The new language--which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., internet)"--could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also most strongly OPPOSE the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

threat to ban 3-D printers!

I do most strongly urge you to repeal these regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land and YOU MUST OBEY them.

Sincerely,

Robert Cons

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-epdq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7218

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Rexroad

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-1sa1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7220

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Goemaat

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jeremy T. Goemaat

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-ta5a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7221

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Suttles

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John Suttles

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-glgp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7222

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Concerned American

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-usfi
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7223

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Tracy

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-7as5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7225

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Cunningham

Address:

2658 munster Road
Ebensburg, PA, 15931

Email: PAPIker@verizon.net

Phone: 814 886 5655

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, consider that by advocating

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-2pbc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7227

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

-written letter to the Department of State -----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Edward Arwood

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-jtvp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7228

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To Whom it may concern,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-2xt4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7229

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph D'Agostino

Address:

1160 Founders Ct.

Henderson, NV, 89074

Email: heepster@cox.net

Phone: 702-738-5831

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Joseph D'Agostino

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-ubl4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7231

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Palmour

Address:

6068 North Pointe Dr
Columbus, GA, 31909

Email: mpalmour@gmail.com

Phone: 706-221-5080

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mark Palmour

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-m3xv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7232

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christopher Wyngarden

Address:

30w121 Elmwood Ct.

Warrenville, IL, 60555

Email: chris.wyngarden@gmail.com

Phone: 630-885-7812

General Comment

Dear Lawmakers,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

The First and Second Amendments must not be subverted to enable "legal" governmental misuse of the Fourth Amendment.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Christopher Wyngarden

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-zq6g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7233

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Joseph Long

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-z1rc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7234

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Moon

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

JD Moon

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-xs4y Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7235

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: P Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-5r5h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7236

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Graybill

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mark G.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-rn7y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7237

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brad Blades

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mr. and Mrs. Brad Blades

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-eg8n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7238

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Anderson

General Comment

Dear President Obama, Congress, and the State Department:

I absolutely oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations known as ITAR, which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech.

The new language includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) which could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing prison and punitive fines.

Communicating any information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by any President or administration as requiring not only government permission but a government license before using our free speech rights. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into the ITAR regulations, as it is a not-so-veiled threat to ban 3-D printers. I have not used one, don't have one, nor am I particularly interested in getting one, but banning 3-D printers would be akin to damming the Pacific Ocean from Adak to San Diego to protect the West Coast. Please don't say that it won't happen; remember that someone in the government thought hemp rope subsidies were a good idea for decades.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. They would violate the Constitution, which has (last I checked) yet to be repealed.

Sincerely,

Scott Anderson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kcr-rr6l
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7240

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Schneider

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Schneider

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-jnxo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7241

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I oppose the rewrite of the State Department arms control regulations.

The new language, which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network, (for example, the Internet),' is too broad and overreaching, and, therefore, in my opinion, a violation of the First Amendment. It is these types of attempts by bureaucrats to curtail free speech and exchange of ideas that causes the increasing distrust of government, especially at the national level.

Also, I oppose the addition of the word "software' into these regulations, which appears to be a not so subtle attempt to ban the use of 3-D printers.

I strongly urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-ung1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7242

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Beauchamp

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

N.Beauchamp

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcr-p35p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7243

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Alexander

Address:

14 Sims Drive

Paris, IL, 61944

Email: zippy47@frontier.com

Phone: 217-4662395

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. I strongly oppose any restrictions placed on our freedoms by our joke of a president.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-62bj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7245

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Chris

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-f84v
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7246

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

A concerned American

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-4xtk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7248

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Robinson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

What divine right has given President Obama the authority to trample all over my first amendment rights? I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. Behind what walls will you put the millions of people who would defy such an egregious regulation?

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D

printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Will you burn all of the books next? Good grief!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-tkcp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7249

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-yvvh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7250

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Colt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard L. Colt

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-mekw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7251

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Let's be honest, this proposed rule/change is yet another attempt by this ridiculous and pathetic administration to impose its will on an unwilling populace. Yet again you decide to ignore the real problems facing this country (like illegal aliens streaming over the border) and you come up with a rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. How does this make sense?

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Do you not respect the Constitution? Do you not respect the First and Second Amendments? It appears that you do not.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-jijx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7252

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Lad

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-vyxx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7256

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Anonymous

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-r114 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7257

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Bracken

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Joel Bracken

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-h6wq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7258

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Frank Mullen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-ix5k
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7259

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne De Goey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Wayne R. De Goey

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-h9fc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7260

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jorge Arsuaga

Address:

104 Embassy Drive
North Wales, PA, 19454

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-bby7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7261

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Stewart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael Stewart

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-ovbv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7262

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John A

Submitter's Representative: John A

Organization: Gun Owners of America

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-758k
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7264

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Fletcher

Address:

1686 Wilson Road

Weippe, ID, 83553

Email: fletfarm@weippe.com

Phone: 208-435-4713

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Douglas H. Fletcher

Note: The above note was a form written note, but I think the commentary and logic it contains are right on the money so I also send it as my comment. Just leave us alone, will you???

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ker-wrem Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7266

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-he0w
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7267

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Guinn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David Guinn

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-l6ex
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7268

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-9264
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7270

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Packett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Gregory Packett

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-vp0a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7273

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-xaqx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7274

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bill Johnson

Address:

654 Baumann Avenue
St. Louis, MO, 63125

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-pcl4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7275

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
The Otts

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-pam0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7276

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: FENG YU

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Feng YU

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-3zux Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7278

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-6r4o Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7279

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Scogin

Address:

7462 Hwy 72 W
Colbert, GA, 30628

Email: frfx393s@msn.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, David Scogin

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-174h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7280

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dean Connelly

Address:

11283 60th

Burlington, IA, 52601

Email: raceglasswander@yahoo.com

Phone: 3197546054

General Comment

To whom it may concern: I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language—which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g. the Internet)" could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not so veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land. Sincerely Dean Connelly

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcr-1ofl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7281

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-t364 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7282

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Hagan

General Comment

To whom it may concern at U.S. Department of State:

I vigorously oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Our country is based on freedom from governmental tyranny. The First and Second Amendments are the law of the land.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-3i3i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7283

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Warren Litka

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Warren Litka

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-a6rl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7284

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, michael

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-741z
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7285

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David House

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether some people like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David House

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcq-4p5v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7286

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Montgomery

Address:

170 Brookside Dr

Pennsboro, WV, 26415

Email: jraymonty@yahoo.com

Phone: 304 873 4344

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joseph Montgomery

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-2jpi Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7287

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Albert Bigley

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-fgzm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7288

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carole A. Niemietz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carole A. Niemietz

Indiana

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-97nd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7290

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Friend

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ugkm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7291

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Laurance Brungardt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Laurance Brungardt
Inola, OK 74036

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-w79u Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7292

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jay Hile

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Jay L. Hile

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-4mm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7293

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Beuckelaere

Address:

2904 Black Creek Road
Muskegon, MI, 49444

Email: beucktr@yahoo.com

Phone: 2312887831

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-hkqe
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7294

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-u5mv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7295

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Cole

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-113d Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7298

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Jones

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ew7y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7299

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Kohlwey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John Kohlwey

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcq-4lsw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7300

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ralph T. DeAngelo

Address:

102 Eno Ave.

Torrington, CT, 06790

Email: rdeangelo01@snet.net

Phone: 860-489-4484

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ralph T. DeAngelo

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-yl0t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7301

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Lawes

Address:

3354 Charing Cross Road

West Jordan, UT, 84084

Email: medicmandan@yahoo.com

Phone: 801-255-5674

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Dan Lawes

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ltcw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7302

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-m011 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7304

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Lum

Address: United States,

Email: Gml223@yahoo.com

Phone: 951-323-6271

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Gary M Lum

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-rufm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7305

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Miller

Address:

4335 mesa circle

Amarillo, TX, 79109

Email: lrlmiller74@hotmail.com

Phone: 8066551669

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

This Administration wants nothing more than to destroy the very principals that created AMERICA! This cannot be allowed to continue without gaining Congressional approval to this Administration. We elected Congress to express our views, NOT THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH. He may have been elected, but not to IGNORE OUR REPRESENTATIVE SYSTEM!!!

We don't need Laws re-written - especially to satisfy this Administrations' desires! LET AMERICANS RUN THE GOVERNMENT - (NOT THE GOVERNMENT), THE WAY IT HAS BEEN FOUGHT FOR FOR CENTURIES WITH AMERICAN BLOOD SHED FOR THE RIGHT.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-9mt3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7306

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-kz7d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7307

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Radke

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Gregory D. Radke
310 Easy St
Williamsburg, IA 52361

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-2lxf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7308

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To the person receiving this letter at the State Department:

I am completely opposed to the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR). As I read it, the document would allow the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Conversing information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. Violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers and other programs and devices and discussions about them which should be protected as free speech under the First Amendment and also protected by the 2nd Amendment.

I demand that you reject such autocratic regulations, now and forever. The First and Second Amendments are the law of the land.

I am, Sincerely,

A natural born citizen of the USA concerned and fearful that the corrupt Obama State Department might retaliate against me for expressing a concern with the increased level of tyranny that this new law would bring to Americans.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-dh82
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7309

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cheryl Christner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Cheryl

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-fa60
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7310

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sharon Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Sharon

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-sp2t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7311

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-gxt7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7312

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arthur Kujawiak

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Arthur Kujawiak

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-8bon
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7313

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Greg Bullock

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-saby Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7314

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ya Xing He

Address:

7267 lee road

Westerville, OH, 43081

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-z89p Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7315

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roy Brown

Address:

1812 N Bridalwreath St

Tempe, AZ, 85281

Email: azarby@msn.com

Phone: 4809499595

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Roy Brown

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ars3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7317

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Heitman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-mm6v
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7318

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roberto Santiago

Address:

1005 Ironstone Ct.

Raleigh, NC, 27615

Email: Rosan0827@hotmail.com

Phone: 9198708610

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Roberto J. Santiago

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-2b5b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7319

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Enniss

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Timothy Enniss
Utah

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-tk82
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7322

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-3s20
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7323

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Martin

Address:

3200 S Canal St

Newton Falls, OH, 44444

Email: kmartin@invensys.com

Phone: 330 307-0622

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

The Martin Family!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-x0rf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7324

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Bauernschmidt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-e76e
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7325

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Peter Buckley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Peter Buckley

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-5tnn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7326

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-co4k Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7327

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin King

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Kevin King

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-fmks Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7329

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Yick

Address:

1161 Tanglewood Lane
Scotch Plains, NJ, 07076

Email: mikeyick@yahoo.com

Phone: 9088898242

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-hbek
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7331

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Rettig

Address:

820 Kipling Drive
Allen, TX, TX, 75002

Email: jarettig2001@yahoo.com

Phone: 972-390-0193

General Comment

A rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The State Department is updating the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and has amended the existing regs to include making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

This restriction on Internet speech is wholly new language and puts anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison (up to 20 years) and massive fines (up to \$1 million). The language is so broad that it could potentially include virtually any gun-related communication of a functional how to nature. The language includes (1) non-automatic and semi-automatic firearms up to .50 caliber; (2) automatics up to .50 caliber; (3) other firearms of special military application; (4) shotguns with a barrel length less than 18 inches; and (5) components, parts, and

ammunition for all of these.

In other words, electronically posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by any administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

And again, violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jack Rettig

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-y1p2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7332

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mike Smith

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-q8vz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7333

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Noah Lyons

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
-Noah Lyons

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcq-iybr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7334

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bernard Pavlik

Address:

2200 S Santa Fe Ave

Moore, OK, 73160-2824

Email: bernard.pavlik@hotmail.com

Phone: 405-473-3914

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Bernard Pavlik

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-x7rk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7335

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Ingram

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Michael T. Ingram

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-5qme
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7337

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-872p Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7338

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-l2x8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7340

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Novak

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert D. Novak

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ncmy
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7341

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gus Kohntopp

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gus Kohntopp

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-p4fr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7342

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Concerned American

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ggnn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7343

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Leaf

Address:

397 Wild Rose Lane

Avon, IN, 46123

Email: indyroadiestar@hotmail.com

Phone: 317-839-0862

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Charles W. Leaf

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-bosv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7344

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Leonard Morganis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Leonard Morganis

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-v381
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7346

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Winston

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I do not have words to express how strongly I oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Following this line of thought, posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-7rey
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7348

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Carter

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety, as the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Scott Carter

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-8b17
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7351

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Starling

Address:

475 Lawson Rd
Gainesboro, TN, 38562

Email: starling@twlakes.net

Phone: 931-239-7339

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

This is America! Our foremost freedom is that of free speech. Talking about technology or ancient mechanics should not be regulated or infringed.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-5jy9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7352

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: david patrick

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-9ane
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7353

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Concerned Citizen in NC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-549n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7354

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Miller

Address:

1580 Walnut Lane

Macungie, PA, 18062-9409

Email: jmiller2@ptd.net

Phone: 610-395-1543

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jack Miller

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-jrrd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7355

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Brick

Address:

42 Hillcrest Drive

Platte City, 64079

Email: tbrick09@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

I find it unfathomable that a department of the executive branch of the United States government could even contemplate efforts to usurp the First Amendment to our Constitution. I find it unconscionable that a department of the executive branch of the United States government could plan on usurping the role of the United States Congress by rewriting regulations without congressional approval.

These flagrant violations of law and our constitution must stop and they must stop now. The state department can count on me to contact my senators and congressional representative about this malfeasance.

Sincerely,

Thomas Brick
Platte City, MO

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-d41x
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7356

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eddy James

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Edward James

Ps On this proposed dictate: Kiss my Lilly white ass you ain't got the right to ban crap.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-fbx8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7357

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Lynberg

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Dan Lynberg

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-k795
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7358

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: aaron wood

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-lix Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7359

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-78x4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7360

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Brunst

Address:

KY, 41051

Email: dbrunst@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Douglas Brunst

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-54vk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7361

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matt R

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Matt R

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-m7gk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7364

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Given

Address:

604 Blue Jay Drive
Beaver, WV, 25813

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Given

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-zxrk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7365

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Kayser

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewriting of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department the wide-ranging power to control and monitor firearm-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring not only government permission, but potentially a government license. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it could lead to a ban on 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety, as the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-vl9d Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7366

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Linda Lawson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-ifv4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7367

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Lahorgue

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-j0r8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7368

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joshua Rose

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land and I consider these regulations as an affront to our constitutional rights!

Sincerely,
Joshua Rose

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-9du4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7371

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kurt Kolanko

Address:

2825 Bagley Drive West

Kokomo, IN, 46902

Email: valmike01@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and are non-negotiable!

Sincerely,

Mr. Kurt Kolanko

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-qaa5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7372

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

JDS

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-vnmn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7373

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Robinson

Address:

15B Carothers Drive

Turtle Creek, PA, 15145

Email: johngalt_6@hotmail.com

Phone: 4127192826

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard Robinson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kcq-vmsm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7374

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

A gun owner and Patriot

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-nb3e
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7375

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Sanner

Address:

3802 Bramblewood Drive

Brunswick, OH, 44212

Email: tjsanner@yahoo.com

Phone: 440-263-7272

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Timothy J Sanner

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-bbl6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7376

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Quentin Ireland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Quentin W. Ireland

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-yff3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7378

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard DeSpain

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard DeSpain

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-8myq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7379

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-r0f8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7380

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Christacos

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Steven Christacos

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-30g9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7381

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glen Iaggi

Address:

16 Marcello Street

Jay, ME, 04239-1814

Email: gleniaggi@yahoo.com

Phone: 2078978301

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Glen Iaggi

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-58cq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7382

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Bergwall

Address:

3031 S Tangerine Ave
Yuma, AZ, 85365-6623

Email: fblongbow48@yahoo.com

Phone: 928-920-3146

General Comment

I oppose the new State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which includes:
making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled
effort to ban
3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and
Second Amendments
are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-5zqc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7384

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Green

Address:

221 Highland Circle
Shelbyville, TN, 37160

Email: jgreen37160@charter.net

Phone: 931-680-7427

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Green

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-4hve
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7385

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jesse Cole

Address:

401 South Alabama St Ste 6b

Butte, MT, 59701

Email: deeprock7@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR),

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- will put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the government as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers and other technical enhancements to law abiding citizens.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-4u35
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7387

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: A Yarbrough

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcq-7plx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7388

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mr. & Mrs. Chester Swafford

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-kjlj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7390

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Macky Marker

Address:

PO Box 433

Odessa, DE, 19730

Email: rekram@rocketmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Macky J. Marker

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-seps Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7391

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

US State Department Employee of the US TAXPAYERS:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which will likely grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- will likely put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition would likely be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, what is it you don't understand about "Shall Not Infringe"?!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ekh4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7392

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gilbert Boisvert

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, G, Alexis Boisvert

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-zjdj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7393

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Hutchins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ron Hutchins

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-eulg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7394

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pat Hagar

Address:

Box 50183

Parks, AZ, 86018

Email: hagar@commspeed.net

Phone: 928-635-4116

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Pat Hagar

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-lnas
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7395

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Radcliffe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Scott Radcliffe

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-w4p7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7396

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Brosius

Address:

1763 E Elgin Ct

Chandler, 85225

Email: Impeachobama@proxy4you.com

Phone: 4808990083

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

This is noting more than an attack on my 1st Amendment Rights as well as my 2nd Amendment rights!

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcp-ruxf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7398

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas York

Address:

24 Gridley St
Greenville, SC, 29609

Email: yorktc@yahoo.com

Phone: 8642350719

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-eqm9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7399

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Hanson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Bruce Hanson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-vleq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7400

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Costanzo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ck6b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7401

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Caldwell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John and Lisa Campbell

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-j8xr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7402

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Smith

Address:

4114 Skyline Drive
Anniston, AL, 36206

Email: sscol96@cableone.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

To me, this is a extreme encroachment on individual rights of free speech, and violates the First Amendment.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Steven Smith

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-gi9n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7403

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Morgan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Morgan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-qity Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7404

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Givens

Address:

4424 Chandler Lane

Virginia Beach, VA, 23455-4516

Email: edgivens@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Edward Givens

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kcp-px8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7405

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pat Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Pat

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-jyfd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7406

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Ceglia

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-2c3q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7407

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Jose

Address:

2 Tallwood Road

Augusta, ME, 04330

Email: michael.j.jose@gmail.com

Phone: 207-622-2420

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

I will be sending copies of this letter to my Senators (Angus King and Susan Collins) and to my Congresswoman (Chellie Pingree).

Sincerely,

Michael J. Jose

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-6a4f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7408

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bill MacMinn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-yfqe Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7409

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-qknc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7410

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Jackson

Address:

1491 Bella Terra

Manteca, CA, 95337

Email: mike512usa@yahoo.com

Phone: 2094187616

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mike & Michelle Jackson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-sycd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7411

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Karl

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-sxtd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7412

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred C

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ch9d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7413

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Campbell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Craig Campbell

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-y4z5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7415

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Meyer

Address:

13603 Helen Benson Blvd.

Davidson, NC, 28036

Email: sportbikesm@yahoo.com

Phone: 704 880-5996

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Scott Meyer

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-kzzg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7416

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Ballance

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the ridiculous rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to censor and control firearm related speech on the Internet. This clearly violates the 1st Amendment.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a Federal government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Will all Netflix movies that show any kind of 'technical' information in any movie be subject to these restrictions and penalties? A policeman that says he uses a 9MM pistol becomes a criminal since he provided technical information about a handgun?

The Thought Police will next limit information concerning coal mining, gasoline engines, abortion, and even sugar on public networks.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-v48a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7417

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Sam Porfido

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-zmu7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7418

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Norman Hesse

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-cljl Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7419

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joe Moore

General Comment

Sirs,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which provide the State Department the ability to unconstitutionally regulate and license gun-related speech on electronic media.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, which appears to be a blatant effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety, in order to keep the State Department's operations in compliance with the First and Second Amendments to the US Constitution.

Sincerely,

Joe Moore

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-e62f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7421

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Jeffrey H

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-fs4d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7422

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Joseph Edie

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-v3tt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7423

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Geoffrey Lloyd

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-x0x7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7424

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: M. Montandon

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-loan Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7425

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Erna Bright, Jr

Address:

682 Silver Springs Rd

Sunbury, NC, 27979

Email: ernabrightjr@embarqmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

Erna Bright , Jr

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-3nn1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7426

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ken Starcher

Address: United States,

Email: wd8mjs@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ken A Starcher

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-tbgq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7427

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Barton Phelps

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Barton Phelps

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wsul
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7428

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pandora Barnhart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Pandora Barnhart

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-3942 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7429

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James E

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James E

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-zwd7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7431

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-6tsz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7433

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Dugan

Address:

1921 Weston Ave

Poland, 44514

Email: m232d@aol.com

Phone: 330-720-7358

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Matthew M. Dugan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-v2ay
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7434

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

A citizen of The United States of America

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-mhld Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7435

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-3ljy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7436

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Wakefield

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John Wakefield

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-g083
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7437

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-jchh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7438

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Crawford

General Comment

State Department Proposal May Make Information-Sharing on Guns Illegal

GOA has looked over the regulations -- and other peoples comments concerning the regs. One initial comment is that a lot of the proposed language which has caused such a stir is carried over from current regulations.

This is not dispositive. If an anti-gun president wanted to use a long standing preexisting statute to implement comprehensive gun control -- and if that preexisting statute had historically been interpreted conservatively -- the first thing the anti - gun president would do is recodify the regulations, with slight modifications.

That said, here are the major problems with the proposed regs, as we see it.

SUMMARY

The definitions of what gun-related information cannot be exported without the approval of the State Department are already very broad.

But what is missing is a broad definition of export that would actually allow the Obama administration to move in and take advantage of this regulatory infrastructure.

And what these regulations would do is to define export to include potentially any gun-related

communication on the Internet or social media.

With this final keystone in place, the Obama Administration would have the regulatory framework to comprehensively regulate gun-related speech in cyberspace, to whatever extent it desires.

Changing Definitions

The term export would be expanded to include making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet). This is wholly new language.

Note that anyone who did this could face decades in prison and massive fines.

Note, further, what this provision does and does not say: It does not require that the technical data be made available to the public for the illegality to occur. It applies to all private communications, so long as the network (e.g., the Internet) is, in general, publicly available.

Thus, any electronic communication concerning gun-related technical data would potentially subject the sender to these significant criminal penalties.

That said, it is alarming enough that the obvious ramification of this paragraph is to give the Obama administration authority to comprehensively regulate gun-related speech on the Internet. So the next question is how broad would its mandate be?

The definition of technical data which would require government permission in order to be posted on the Internet is, in part:

Information required for the development (see section 120.47) (including design, modification, and integration design), production, (see section 120.48) (including operation, installation, and integration), maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a defense article. Technical data may be in any tangible or intangible form....

Note that this language is so broad that it could potentially include virtually any gun-related communication of a functional how to nature.

The term defense article -- which requires a license (and huge license fee) for export, and upon which the previous definition rests -- is: any item, software, or technical data designated in section 121.1....

And what does section 121.1 say that the term defense article means? It now includes (1) non-automatic and semi-automatic firearms up to .50 caliber; (2) automatics up to .50 caliber; (3) other firearms of special military application; (4) shotguns with a barrel length less than 18 inches; and (5) components, parts, and ammunition for all of these.

posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as being the export of a defense article.

Of course, it is a defense that the information is in the public domain because, under section 120.11, it is available to the public without restriction on their further dissemination. But anyone who posts on a gun-related how to topic has to ask the question of what the term available to the public means -- and whether everything in his post has been said before, publicly. At the very least, such a convoluted process would have a chilling effect on gun-related speech, virtually every how to gun-related electronic communication could be classified as a defense article which would require, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

We have seen that the term defense article -- which requires a license (and huge license fee) for export -- is defined to be any item, software, or technical data designated in section 121.1 And the term

software is new.

We know this is a lie in one respect, because computer-aided design files and electronic media remain in the 22 CFR 120.10 definition of the technical data section under the proposed new rules.

What software should we be concerned about? Well, to start with, 3-D printer programming almost certainly falls within this category and these regs seems to be an effort to outlaw this technology by executive fiat

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-aip5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7440

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Sampsel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bryan Sampsel

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-didg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7441

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jerry

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-nlzc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7442

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RObert Shearer

Address:

shearer1@yahoo.com

Grand Junction, MI, 49056

Email: shearer1@yahoo.com

Phone: 269-434-6776

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert Leroy Shearer

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-hscx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7444

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: D C

Address:

McAllen, TX,

General Comment

To those that think they are our overlords:

I absolutely oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I demand that you repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-nn96
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7446

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

A concerned citizen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ndk4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7447

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Mello

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Steven Mello

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-hp9o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7448

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christopher Bennett

Address:

25 Macedonia Road
Buckhannon, WV, 26201

Email: Scudplucker@msn.com

Phone: 304-472-1280

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Christopher C. Bennett

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-nsfm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7449

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Monson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Daniel Monson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-zshd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7450

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russell Vanpelt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-rwnb
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7452

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Taggart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael J. Taggart

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-mqzh Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7453

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryon Piper

Address:

2705 Cheney Cove
Austin, TX, 78745

Email: bryonpiper@ymail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-2edu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7454

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jay thompson

Address: United States,

Email: jay.thompson@hhs.gov

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-dsjg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7455

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nate Lahue

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which would grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet, not that I think you have the legitimate power to do so anyways....

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines (pffffff - whatever).

So posting information on any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I guess after all them years I served in the Army as a drill sergeant teaching people how to fire their M-16 was criminal if I mentioned it online!

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. But that can be expected from a criminally corrupt government such as ours.

You nipple twisters should really repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-uywq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7456

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael McGrew

Address:

304 Lubeck St
Marine, IL, 62061

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-23rb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7459

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Willis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose this rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet, and ban 3d printers.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. You folks ARE aware that people who lose hands are having people print them replacement hands using 3d printers, right?

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mark Willis

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ewv0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7460

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the revision of the State Departments ITAR regulations that would grant the State Department an unchecked power to violate the First and Second Amendments of the US Constitution by censoring firearms-related speech on the Internet and soon other media. The very idea that speech about commonplace guns or gun components could put someone in prison or levy them with massive fines is what one would expect from a banana republic, not our constitutional republic.

And the introduction of "software" into these regulations is an clumsy attempt to replace the "dual use" considerations with heavy-handed considerations that the State Department can do to manufacturing whatever it pleases (or whatever the administration in power at the time pleases). After looking at how recent IRS abuses made Lois Lerner a household name, I shudder to think how access to breakthrough technologies like 3D printing and additive manufacturing can become levers to control entire manufacturing industries. Is it your goal to subjugate manufacturing industries just as media and telecommunications industries have been subjugated?

Repeal totally these new regulations. You're the Department of State, not a police state.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-tjmk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7461

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Mariucci

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-8frd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7462

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Jensen

Address:

114 Sinclair Rd

Northville, NY, 12134

Email: jeffulator@yahoo.com

Phone: 5188634961

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeffrey Jensen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-pxhj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7463

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russell Waugh

Address:

3 Orchard St

Mexico, ME, 04257

Email: rwaugh700@icloud.com

Phone: (207) 418-1813

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

Russell Waugh

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-n8x6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7464

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-e6wu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7465

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Hoffman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Fred Hoffman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-cr3u Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7468

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Gary Mercante

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-bm9u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7469

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Gibson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Michael W. Gibson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-8rd5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7473

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Wales

Address:

3128 S.E. Franklin St.

3128 S.E. Franklin St.

Portland, OR, 97202

Email: mamapeer@yahoo.com

Phone: (503) 200-0076

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mark Wales

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-1184
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7474

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address: United States,

Email: cwhunk@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, CW Hunk

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-4qxu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7475

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aubrey Fuller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Aubrey W. Fuller
Bueche, louisiana

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-1trl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7476

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
VA
Tennessee

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-sj4h Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7477

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Walter Engle

Address:

7256 Jessica J Court

Plymouth, MI, 48170

Email: walt_engle@yahoo.com

Phone: 734-516-7454

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Walter Engle

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wn8r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7479

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Lee Briggs

Address:

3313 N 68th St Unit 107E

Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Email: bryan_lee-74@hotmail.com

Phone: 602-538-2838

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bryan Lee Briggs

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-e65v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7480

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-3az9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7481

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bret Hughes

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

ALL THE TRAITORS AND SOCIOPATHS NOW IN GOVERNMENT WILL BE EXPOSED AND JUDGED BY HISTORY FOREVERMORE, PROSECUTED TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT OF LAW AND LEGALLY IMPRISONED, FOR LIFE, OR EXECUTED. WE ALL KNOW ABOUT YOU AND YOUR TREASONOUS ACTIVITIES. WE DEMAND THAT YOU HALT AND REVERSE ALL SUCH ACTIVITIES IMMEDIATELY!

I demand you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-irz1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7482

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Justin Enders

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

J. Nick Enders

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-vjnt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7485

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Frank Boggio

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-e778 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7487

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wasey Ryder

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Wasey Ryder

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-k16s Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7488

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lonny Brady

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, L D Brady. Warren, Oregon

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcp-yubm
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7489

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address:

17801 n.e 70th

Purcell, AR, 73080

Email: shit4brains@inbox.com

Phone: 2175491834

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the attempt to infringe on the right to free speech, which this bill will do. Also rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also Do Not oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, H.L Neal

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-5pz0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7491

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mehdi Aghamoosa

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

STAGG P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

521 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10175

Tel: (212) 518-4854 - Fax: (888) 573-6355

www.staggpc.com

CHRISTOPHER B. STAGG

Direct: (212) 518-4854

chris@staggpc.com

August 3, 2015

Edward Peartree
Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls Policy
U.S. Department of State
PM/DDTC, SA-1, Room 1304
2401 E Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

Re: Stagg P.C. Comments to ITAR Harmonization Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 31,525

Dear Mr. Peartree:

The law firm of Stagg, P.C. respectfully submits the following public comments in response to the publication of the proposed rule published as RIN 1400-AD70 and on June 3, 2015. Stagg P.C. is a law firm that advises clients on all aspects of U.S. export control regulations. Its clients include *Fortune 500* companies, trade associations, software developers, educational institutions, foreign law firms, and information publishing companies.

Comments

ITAR § 120.11– DDTC’s preamble assertion that prior approval is not a new requirement is unsupported by the regulatory record and is plainly erroneous

A commenting party requests additional information into the Department’s claim within the preamble for ITAR § 120.11 that the proposed prior approval to put information into the public domain is not a new requirement. Specifically, when did the Department make this decision and why did the Department not make this decision public (or any underlying guidance or procedures) at that time.

DDTC’s assertion that there already exists a prior approval requirement is inconsistent with the history of the public domain exclusion and is plainly erroneous with the plain meaning of ITAR § 120.11. The regulatory history of ITAR § 120.11 involved the repeal of a prior approval requirement in 1984, which DDTC acknowledged and conceded in the ongoing litigation in *Defense Distributed v. Department of State*.

Recently, it has been claimed by some officials within DDTC that ITAR § 120.11(a)(7) is the source for imposing the broad prior approval requirement. Again, this is inconsistent with the regulatory history and is plainly erroneous with the plain meaning of ITAR § 120.11. When ITAR

Stagg P.C. Comments to ITAR Harmonization Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 31,525

§ 120.11(a)(7) was added in 1993, it was done so to *expand* the scope of the public domain exclusion – not to impose a broad prior approval requirement. Moreover, ITAR § 120.11(a)(7) was merely clarifying the already existing exemption within ITAR § 125.4(b)(13).

The Department of Justice in its 1984 review of the constitutionality of ITAR § 125.4(b)(13) – that eventually also became ITAR § 120.11(a)(7) – did not have direct issues with it because it was plainly read as only applying to information developed or originated by the government. No federal court has interpreted ITAR § 120.11(a)(7) to impose such a prior approval on all information into the public domain as DDTC now apparently does. It is also noted that fundamental research is included within the definition of public domain, so if DDTC maintains this position then even information from fundamental research requires prior approval.

Moreover, if this requirement were already existing, then why does DDTC not have any publicly available procedures for putting information into the public domain and is unable to provide such guidance now? It is notable that Defense Distributed, through counsel, on January 5, 2015 submitted an advisory opinion request to seek guidance from DDTC as to what procedures are available to publish technical data into the public domain under the ITAR. Yet, it is revealing that DDTC has not responded to that request as of August 1, 2015. This evidences that despite DDTC's claim that prior approval is not new, DDTC has never established any procedures to handle such a requirement.

ITAR § 120.11(b) – The prior approval requirement fails to provide procedural safeguards as required by the First Amendment

A commenting party notes that the prior approval requirement to put information into the public domain within proposed ITAR §120.011(b) is an unconstitutional prior restraint. Even if the regulations were content-neutral, the First Amendment still requires that the government establish specific procedural safeguards. These required safeguards include a definite time limit on the government's decision and prompt judicial review. As written, the prior approval requirement lacks the constitutionally required procedural safeguards.

Even if the prior restraint were content-neutral, the First Amendment still nevertheless requires that the government establish specific procedural safeguards. DDTC's imposition of a prior restraint is noticeably silent on these First Amendment procedural requirements, which include:

“(1) any restraint prior to judicial review can be imposed only for a specified brief period during which the status quo must be maintained; (2) expeditious judicial review of that decision must be available; and (3) the censor must bear the burden of going to court to suppress the speech and must bear the burden of proof once in court.” *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, 493 U.S. 215, 227-228 (1990).

In applying these requirements to the AECA and the ITAR, it is notable that the AECA prohibits judicial review of USML designations under 22 U.S.C. 2278(h). The ITAR also exempts judicial

Stagg P.C. Comments to ITAR Harmonization Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 31,525

review of licensing decisions (including approvals and other authorizations) within ITAR § 128.1, which also admits how the review process is “highly discretionary.” The added delay of required Congressional Notification under Section 38(f) of the AECA makes even strict regulatory timeframes for review impossible to meet the First Amendment’s strict requirement of only a brief period to restrain speech.

ITAR § 120.11(b) – The prior approval requirement to remove technical data from the USML requires Congressional Notification under AECA Section 38(f)

A commenting party noted that the proposed revisions to ITAR § 120.11(b) requires Congressional Notification to remove the technical data (a defense article) from the USML in accordance with Section 38(f) of the Arms Export Control Act. The commenting party is concerned by the Department’s silence on this critical Congressional requirement and the added timing it would take for Congressional approval.

In reviewing DDTC’s proposed prior approval requirement, it is evident that this approval requirement is being mischaracterized as a licensing or other approval issue when it is factually and legally a jurisdictional matter. As background, Section 38(f) of the AECA requires that the Department of State notify Congress at least thirty days before removing any item from the U.S. Munitions List. The ITAR also expressly states this requirement within § 120.4(a).

The proposed rule on the public domain definition within ITAR § 120.11(b) would require that the U.S. Government authorize the release of technical data into the public domain. Once authorized by the U.S. Government for release into the public domain, then that information would not be technical data and therefore excluded from the definition of defense article in ITAR § 120.6.

In other words, the information constitutes technical data when it is sent for the U.S. Government’s “authorization” to release it into the public domain. Since the information constitutes technical data, then it is subject to the ITAR. If the U.S. Government doesn’t provide the appropriate authorization, then the information is still technical data and still subject to the ITAR. However, if the U.S. Government does provide an authorization to release the information into the public domain, then it is no longer technical data and no longer subject to the ITAR.

In other words, the “authorization” process would occur as follows:

Day 1	Information is reviewed by its owner and determined to constitute technical data within meaning of ITAR § 120.10.
Day 2	The owner of the technical data wishes to publish the information into the public domain. The owner consults the ITAR and identifies the “authorization” requirement within ITAR § 120.11.
Day 3	The owner submits an “authorization” request to the U.S. Government. During this request, the owner continues to treat the information as technical data and subject to the ITAR.

Stagg P.C. Comments to ITAR Harmonization Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 31,525

Day 60	The U.S. Government provides an “authorization” for the release of the technical data into the public domain.
Day 61	The owner releases the information into the public domain.

Alternatively, the “authorization” process could occur as follows:

Day 1	Information is reviewed by its owner and determined to constitute technical data within meaning of ITAR § 120.10.
Day 2	The owner of the technical data wishes to publish the information into the public domain. The owner consults the ITAR and identifies the “authorization” requirement within ITAR § 120.11.
Day 3	The owner submits an “authorization” request to the U.S. Government. During this request, the owner continues to treat the information as technical data and subject to the ITAR.
Day 60	The U.S. Government does <u>not</u> provide an “authorization” for the release of the technical data into the public domain. The information remains subject to the ITAR as technical data.
Day 61	The owner sues DDTC to release the information into the public domain.
Day 62	DDTC files a motion to dismiss the lawsuit claiming that the AECA bars judicial review of USML designations under 22 U.S.C. 2778(h).

As the examples demonstrate, this “authorization” clearly results in a removal of an item from the U.S. Munitions List and therefore triggers the Congressional notification requirement in 22 U.S.C. 2778(f)(1). Regardless of whatever reasons DDTC has for removing an item from the USML, it still requires this statutory notification to Congress.

As a result of the required Congressional Notification, DDTC’s proposed prior approval requirement does not account for this significant AECA requirement. In particular, the Congressional Notification requirement makes it impossible for DDTC to impose a prompt time frame to review “authorization” requests as required by the First Amendment. For instance, even if DDTC did put in a strict 30-day timeframe to review “authorization” requests, it would still be unconstitutional because the item has not yet been removed from the USML and is based on a second Congressional review requirement that typically adds many months (and sometimes years) to the process.

ITAR § 120.47 – The ITAR needs to define the meaning of “directly related” rather than “required”

A commenting party recommends that the Department define the meaning of “directly related” instead of “required” within proposed ITAR § 120.47 to ensure common understanding within industry and the government as to what constitutes defense services, software, and technical data that are “directly related” to a defense article. Although the ITAR is now proposing a definition of required, the commenter notes that the “directly related” qualifier applies to defense services,

Stagg P.C. Comments to ITAR Harmonization Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 31,525

software and technical data while required only applies to technical data. A definition for directly related is therefore more appropriate.

It is recommended that DDTC define the meaning of “directly related” instead of “required” to ensure common understanding within industry and the government as to what constitutes a defense service, software, or technical data that is “directly related” to a defense article. Although the ITAR is now proposing a definition of required, it is noted that the “directly related” qualifier applies to defense services, software and technical data while required only applies to technical data. A definition for directly related is therefore more appropriate.

* * * * *

Thank you,

STAGG P.C.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-q32v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7493

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Lamoureux

Address:

P.O. Box 821

Sisters, OR, 97759

Email: roger23x@msn.com

Phone: 5413831821

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Roger Lamoureux

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcp-u77g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7494

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Philip Wadsworth

Address:

34624 Chestnut

Wayne, MI, 48184

Email: pd_wads@yahoo.com

Phone: 734 467-1797

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-o3hf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7496

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: B G

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wrvm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7499

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Phillips

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-2oky Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7501

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

One of the few who has bleed to defend our constitution!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-1g0j
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7502

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Benjamin Sutherland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Benjamin Sutherland

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-noga
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7503

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Liotta

Address:

630 Anderson Drive
Paris, TN, 38242

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Matthew J. Liotta
Paris, TN.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ayxg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7504

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Johann Bauer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Johann Bauer

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ffai
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7505

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ANTHONY CANNONE JR

Address:

3520 WILDHORSE LN.

JOSHUA, TX, 76058

Email: solagratia_gracealone@yahoo.com

Phone: 8176176488

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Anthony Cannone Jr.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-pjgn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7506

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Coward

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Chris Coward

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-vvk0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7507

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Rodriguez

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)" -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! And I did NOT serve the United States for twenty-two years to see them ignored!

Sincerely,

Isaac L. Kullman

MSgt USAF Retired

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-u825
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7509

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: B. Forkis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

B. Forkis

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-qow4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7512

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-atcq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7513

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Hempel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of decades in prison and massive fines.

As a result, posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. They clearly violate both the First and Second Amendments to the US Constitution.

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-g4mn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7514

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David S. Kerns Jr.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-yczo
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7515

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: GEORGE HOLLAWAY

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

Dear US State Department,

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
GEORGE HOLLAWAY

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-dw7d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7516

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Miller

Address:

29428 85th Ave Ct S

Roy, WA, 98580

Email: dhmill@hotmail.com

Phone: 253-224-7642

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Douglas Miller

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-skmh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7517

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Hancock

Address:

823 Johnson Street

Minonk, IL, 61760

Email: paulahancock@hotmail.com

Phone: 3094323398

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

William Hancock

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wzu6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7519

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Ostermiller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Ostermiller

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-do9m
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7520

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Beau Baumann

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-832y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7521

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Tercho

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Patrick Tercho

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-40ff
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7522

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cory Brunetti

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Cory Brunetti

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wp9o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7523

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially (will) grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could (will be used to) put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could (would undoubtedly) be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially (most certainly) a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to (not accidentally will) be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are
still the law of the land! The citizens of this nation fought one war to gain our rights and freedoms, and
the blood of those
early patriots is still in our veins. This is not intended to be nor should it be construed to be, a threat... just
the musing of a
retired Navy Chief and a devout patriot who believes the proposed actions of the State Department is in
direct violation of the
Constitution and laws of this nation. Additionally, doing this through the auspices of the United Nations
does not remove or
cancel the violation and abuse of the Constitution and laws of this nation, no matter how you phrase it or
force upon the
citizens of our country. The State Department will be directly attacking and violating the very documents
which have helped
make this country the freest and finest nation in the history of the world; That will make the State
Department an integral
party to the evil being worked to disarm, silence, subjugate, and eventually destroy this nation.

Sincerely and respectfully,
We the people are paying attention...

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-2e68
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7524

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russel Finizie

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Russel Finizie

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-1815
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7525

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Minneman, DDS

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Roger T. Minneman, DDS

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-5z72 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7527

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-ydhv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7528

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronee Finizie

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ronee Finizie

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-gx0q
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7529

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Mack

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-w68m Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7531

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edmond Finizie

Address:

3020 Country Dancer Ave.

North Las Vegas, NV, 89081

Email: edfinizie@gmail.com

Phone: 7022172313

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mr. Ed Finizie

Lieutenant, North Las Vegas NV Police Dept., Retired

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-nzfy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7534

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Bruce

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-xssl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7535

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Obama Administration

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-us3h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7536

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gordon Warren

Address:

6212 Leafy Street

Las Vegas, NV, 89130

Email: ghw2@cox.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land! As a former professor of American diplomatic history (14 years) and a retired attorney (26 years), I know that the State Department has no authority or right to follow our president's anti-free speech, anti-gun, anti-American agenda by trampling on the First Amendment. The State Department's first duty, as is the duty of any federal official, is to protect the United States Constitution from an imperial presidency. The fact that Obama wants to continue his destructive course over the next 18 months does not justify the State Department and Congress kowtowing to his illegal agenda and will only encourage justifiable resistance by the public.

Sincerely,
Dr. Gordon H. Warren

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-203u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7538

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely Barry Smith

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-jsj3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7539

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-wjba Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7541

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marc Luker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-awzt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7545

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donntae Jackson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-fgn8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7546

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, a citizen of the United States of America

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-xvok Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7548

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Petz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Thomas Petz

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-chgj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7549

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Pendick

Address:

PO Box 1304
Ronkonkoma, NY, 11779

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Thomas Pendick

Limited Time Offer

1 oz., .999 pure silver coin.

GOA Media Clips

Background Checks Failing (Pt. 2)

Background Checks Failing (Pt. 1)

E. Pratt debates the UK on guns

L. Pratt on Armed American Radio

E. Pratt debates guns in churches

L. Pratt on CNN

Obama wrong on SC shooting

How Guns Prevent Terrorism

More Videos

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-snbf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7550

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Brandt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-t30o Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7551

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-2nym
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7552

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Kroll

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ron Kroll

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcp-pe3b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7554

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Hodges

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John Hodges

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-f3t3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7555

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert McCoon

Address:

3724 Lisk Road, Odessa, NY 14869

Odessa, NY, 14869

Email: bandbmccoon@gmail.com

Phone: 607-387-9491

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Robert McCoon

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-piib Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7556

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Drob

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Robert Drob

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-gzp9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7557

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mr. M.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-23bf Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7558

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Owen Krueger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Owen Krueger

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-a6jo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7560

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel Brandt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Daniel Brandt

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ityr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7561

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Migliorisi

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-111v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7563

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Arizona Citizen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-d2fy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7565

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Peace

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Tim Peace

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-jcx9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7567

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Yerke

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bryan Yerke

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-n1ue
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7569

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Heagney

Address:

1607 cranberry pond trail

Victor, NY, 14564-1954

Email: Scott.heagney@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-c012
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7571

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Anonymous

General Comment

---- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
William G. Carver

Limited Time Offer

1 oz., .999 pure silver coin.

GOA Media Clips

Background Checks Failing (Pt. 2)

Background Checks Failing (Pt. 1)

E. Pratt debates the UK on guns

L. Pratt on Armed American Radio

E. Pratt debates guns in churches

L. Pratt on CNN

Obama wrong on SC shooting

How Guns Prevent Terrorism

MORE VIDEOS

2015 - Gun Owners of America

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-xqfx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7572

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: D. B. Callender

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-tpoh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7573

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Smith

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully submitted,
Timothy J. Smith

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-qpjg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7575

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Henry Chu

Address:

17114 Paxton Point Ln

Houston, TX, 77095

Email: hchu1@juno.com

Phone: 713.896.8462

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-6989
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7576

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederick Ruch

Address:

650 E Strawbridge Ave

Apt 1408

Melbourne, 32901

Email: fruch43@gmail.com

Phone: 6103901248

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Fred Ruch

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-svn9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7577

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris G

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Chris Gugumuck

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-kblo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7578

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shawn Shupp

Address:

12313 Forrest Hill Rd

Clear Spring, MD, 21722

Email: sps308@Reagan.com

Phone: 240-313-5393

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Shawn Shupp

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-dym6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7579

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Branco

Address:

6672 State Highway 181

Gainesville, MO, 65655

Email: bb65655@hotmail.com

Phone: 417-449-7754

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Therefore posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Robert Branco

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-mjfc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7582

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harry Lackerdas

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Harry Lackerdas

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-4t0q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7583

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Vigilante

Address:

Paul vigilante

Prescott valley, AZ, 86315

Email: Pvigilante@aol.com

Phone: 9738223766

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-dnx9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7584

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Watson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ronald Watson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-bp52 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7586

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Leonard Beller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Leonard Beller

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-yagp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7587

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Haley

Address:

8204 Cliffe Way
Sacramento, 95828

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Aaron Haley

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-q6ot
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7589

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Karl Grotzke

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Karl Grotzke

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ys7x Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7590

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-sqwe Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7592

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Weiss

Address:

110 Meetinghouse Rd

Hatboro, PA, 190401604

Email: eric@eweiss.com

Phone: 2673310011

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Eric Weiss

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-dntn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7594

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Lowe

Address:

PO Box 3443

Idaho Falls, ID, 83403

Email: dukxdog@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ex05 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7599

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-tnxq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7600

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you!!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-585a
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7601

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Parson

Address:

55 Ace Ct

West Islip, NY, 11795

Email: gr8midsrelief@yahoo.com

Phone: 631-745-6442

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Thomas Parson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ya9q
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7603

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phil O'Connell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Phil O'Connell

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-9128
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7606

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Jones

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-qz5e Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7607

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan J

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety; the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-v95i Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7608

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Perdue

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Phillip Perdue

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-17h1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7609

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Martin Capdevielle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Martin W. Capdevielle

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-l4w6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7610

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Anderson

Address:

4822 Daisy St.

West Richland, WA, 99353

Email: willomally@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Matthew Anderson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-txuz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7611

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Bradley

Address:

1005 Villa Hill Drive

Leander, TX, 78641

Email: jim@bradley-home.com

Phone: 5125475020

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James B Bradley

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ctde Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7612

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John J. Vitale

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-rmpw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7613

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Meng

Address:

2146 Chael Drive NE
Solon, 52333

Email: gregmeng@aim.com

Phone: 319 624-3393

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Greg Meng
319 624-3393

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-63xu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7614

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Kairo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jason Kairo

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-5fo9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7615

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Deckard

Address:

517 Cougar St Se
Lacey, WA, 98503

Email: saend@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-gx1u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7616

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Papanestor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-mcd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7617

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-p38q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7618

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christopher Lewis

Address:

Bonney Lake, WA, 98391

Email: Lewisusa1@gmail.com

Phone: 253.447.7901

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Lewis
Bonney Lake, Wa 98391

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-d7zw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7619

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John O'Toole

Address:

1035 sechrist Loop
Pilot Mountain, NC, 27041

Email: lteworf@surry.net

Phone: 336-351-5527

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John J. O'Toole, Jr.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-o3f3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7620

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-p6tf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7621

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Blu Fox

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

Sounds like the communist are at work again , any law that violates our constitution is not law .
The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ld4s
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7622

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Kase

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Matthew Kase
Pensacola, FL

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ol91 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7623

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Corey LaSalle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-lm0i Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7624

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jacob Filtingberger

Address:

PO Box 1066

Hollister, MO, 65673

Email: jacobfiltingberger@yahoo.com

Phone: 4172394675

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jacob Filtingberger

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-hma8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7625

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary and Teresa James

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-tela
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7626

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Cupp

Address:

11622 Marina Dr

Jacksonville, FL, 32246

Email: greyaxe@yahoo.com

Phone: 904-642-2155

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joseph W Cupp

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-12gu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7627

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Russell E. Rhea

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-5ywr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7628

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Traweek

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. Unless you are prepared to denounce the Constitution and Bill of Rights, then you must NOT allow this rule to take effect for doing so would be an overt act of Treason.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I DEMAND that you repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land, and so is Treason!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-o023 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7630

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Benjamin Groves

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-70lk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7631

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brad Lewis

Address:

11630 Dahlia Terrace

Oregon City, OR, 97045

Email: greazpaint@gmail.com

Phone: 503-974-9297

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-sw6i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7634

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gene Blair

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Gene Blair

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-f1n6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7635

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brad Lewis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Brad Lewis

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-sg5e Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7636

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael O'Tool

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Michael J. O'Tool

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ddhg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7637

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Brown

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-b3ix Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7638

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Reeder

Address:

10484 Airpark Loop

Melba, ID, 83641

Email: reedair.larry@gmail.com

Phone: 208-495-2007

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Larry E Reeder

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-6z4x
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7639

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MaChestea Parks

Address:

Middleburg, FL,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
MaChestea Parks

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-soe7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7640

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Rukstalis

Address:

1105 North Tyrolean Dr.

Payson, AZ, 85541

Phone: 928-474-3393

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-17tu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7641

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David evans

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-9mqc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7642

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Mayberry

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Anthony Mayberry

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-c74g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7643

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Newland

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-wnjz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7645

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

MG

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-8lv1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7646

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Delfin Ledezma

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Delfin R. Ledezma

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-u5es Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7648

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Luke Denton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-7m66 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7649

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Duke

Address:

1037 E Providencia Ave

Burbank, CA, 91501

Email: Dddndd@aol.com

Phone: 818 841-4241

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David Duke

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-hcfd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7650

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Nelson

Address:

2615 Skyline

Minden, NV, 89423

Email: illiad_99@yahoo.com

Phone: 661 943-6020

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mike Nelson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-eqqp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7651

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mona Oliver

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I STRONGLY OPPOSE the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I ALSO OPPOSE the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mona Oliver

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-b1q8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7652

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Tetlow

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I STRONGLY oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

And if these new rewrites are passed - you can not only count me among those who will ignore and defy those rules, you can also count on me to organize as much civil disobedience as possible to these

regulations! As Martin Luther King said One has a moral responsibility to disobey unjust laws.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Tetlow
Citizen
Major, USAF Aux

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-up9u
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7653

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Boyer

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-b21t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7654

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Harris

Address:

1249 Kings Row
Slidell, LA, 70461

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Greg Harris

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-e6c7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7657

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph A. Mirabella

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mr. Joseph A. Mirabella
Tampa, FL

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-d6g1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7658

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony DeMichielli

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-50mo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7659

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Bedrosian

Address:

1041-B Sayre Lane

Elgin, SC, 29045

Email: pnb@infionline.net

Phone: 803-438-6039

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Paul Bedrosian

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-4856
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7661

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
JL Pease

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-jjgd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7662

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: mike jye

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mike Jaye

Westerly, RI

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-q4cp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7663

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Avenali

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mike Avenali

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-olvg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7664

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Newman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gregory S. Newman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-53uq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7665

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Kuntz

Address:

931 Wynterbrooke Drive
Kokomo, IN, 46901

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert K. Kuntz

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-uerd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7666

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Valentino Lopez

Address:

2 Pineridge Ct

Pueblo, CO, 81001

Email: vallopez2000@yahoo.com

Phone: 7195450905

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Valentino Lopez

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-uwv7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7667

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Summerhill

Address:

194-A County Line Road

Edgewood, NM, 87015

Email: dynamutt@reagan.com

Phone: 505-967-9652

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert J. Summerhill

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-zoqc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7671

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-7jm3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7672

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Neil Tousignant

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Neil T Tousignant

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-8st5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7674

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Registered voter

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-z491
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7676

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cory Sutliff

Address:

2169 Copperridge Lane
Harrah, OK, 73045

Phone: 4053100570

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-c84r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7677

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mr. Perkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Mr. Perkins

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-jxs3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7678

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Thrift

Address:

124 Hardin Ct.

Terrytown, LA, 70056

Email: b.thrift@yahoo.com

Phone: 832-474-1319

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
William Thrift
Terrytown, LA

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-sk9r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7679

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cosmo Stallone

Address:

482 Welton Street

Harpursville, NY, 13787

Email: cosmo_stallone@hotmail.com

Phone: 6076933008

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Cosmo Stallone

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-hfq1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7680

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randolph Peters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Randolph Peters

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-cr0u Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7681

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: samuel wilkins

Address:

30308 jasmine dr
santa clarita, CA, 91387

Email: redneck2006@gmail.com

Phone: 6612523443

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-pt71
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7682

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Anonymous

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-f79i Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7684

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Sloan

Address:

803 4th Street

Friend, NE, 68359

Email: fisherkas@yahoo.com

Phone: 4029472227

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Kenneth Sloan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-who Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7685

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allan Neal

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Allan Neal

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-6dyf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7686

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arthur Cameron

Address:

18952 County Road 234

Tyler, TX, 75707

Email: alnmr@flash.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Arthur L. Cameron

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-8afe Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7688

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-2gmh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7690

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Caudill

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Charles Lucas Caudill

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-rwq4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7691

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-s8so
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7692

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Mazell

Address:

1175 Shady Lane NE

Keizer, OR, 97303-4818

Email: albhm@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Bruce H. Mazell

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-a8oj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7693

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Froehlich

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kco-j791 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7694

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John LaScola

Address:

579 Glen Shannon Dr
Pittsburgh, PA, 15234

Email: LaScolaJ@comcast.net

Phone: 412-531-6384

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-g6if
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7695

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Byers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Charles Byers

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-y13f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7696

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Blair

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Brian Blair

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-rd36
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7697

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Anon

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-7isk Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7698

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Austin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-yyld
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7700

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ot1u Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7701

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: roger freeze

Address:

101 MADISON LN
LURAY, VA, 22835

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-p4o2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7702

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Snyder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

George Snyder

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-dhzs
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7703

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: S. Lane

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

S. Lane

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-n6qv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7705

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Seth Overly

Address:

6823 Country Club Dr
Huntington, WV, 25705

Email: so364102@ohio.edu

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety and uphold the Constitution of the United States of America.

Sincerely,
Seth Overly

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-agli Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7706

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-lo9v
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7707

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Cooper

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John R. Cooper

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-jlyz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7710

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Philip Pugh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Philip A. Pugh

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-9oi3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7711

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Lee

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Timothy Lee

1st amendment rights.Free Speech!!!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ht02 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7713

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony and Ramona Newman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Anthony and Ramona Newman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-zrl9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7716

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Puter

Address:

472 Santee Street

Grand Junction, CO, 81504

Email: christian67apologist@yahoo.com

Phone: 970-234-9954

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard Puter
Colorado

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-viwq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7717

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Filtz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Patrick

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-mfd5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7718

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James & Toni Simpson

Address:

8775 20th St. #929

Vero Beach, 32966-6910

Email: tessyluv20@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. James Simpson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-ehfp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7721

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Rundlett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-cva3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7722

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-xaer Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7723

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-wsnn
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7724

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Justin Keogh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Justin Keogh

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kco-wlg7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7725

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arthur O'Leary

Address:

16 Barry Lane

Leominster, MA, 01453

Email: oleary5150@comcast.net

Phone: 978-537-2642

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Art O'Leary

President, Leominster Sportsmen's Association

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-a017
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7726

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: micheal walker

Address:

po 69721

seattle, 98168

Email: cavvet@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Micheal Walker

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-cn2v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7727

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Walter REID

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Walter REID

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-u14i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7729

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Anthony Savagian

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-lh1h Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7730

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bernie Sergeant

Address:

PO BOX 1604

Wise, VA, 24293

Email: bnsargent1@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bernie N. Sergeant

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-tyz1
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7731

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Vance

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David Vance

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-pnli
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7733

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Walt Appel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Walt Appel

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-r5t7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7734

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wilson Jack

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Wilson Jack, Jr.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-y2w0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7735

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Douglas E Smith

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-27pi Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7736

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Zink

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Thomas G.Zink

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-gg9f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7737

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Bartlett

Address:

4966 Bartlett Dr

Geneva, 44041

Email: jlb270@roadrunner.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kco-21bh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7738

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John Q. Public

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-v62b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7739

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: oscar rodriguez

Address:

15284 Saddleback rd

Santa clarita, 91387

Email: oscar.rodriguez.jr@gmail.com

Phone: 3235333399

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Patriot and 2nd amendment supporter,
Oscar Rodriguez

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-h9b5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7740

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Leonard Ferrari

Address:

30 Penmerryl Dr

Greenville, VA, 24440-1767

Email: lbf1@outlook.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Leonard B Ferrari

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-pp1q
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7742

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Ippolito

Address:

356 Springhouse Drive

Lewisburg, PA, 17837

Email: shoppe@dejazzd.com

Phone: 5705225081

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-zuoy
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7743

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Ross

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeffrey Ross
US Army Ret.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-7zee
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7745

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenn Wheeler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Glenn Wheeler

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-4b0l
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7746

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: mike SAWYER

General Comment

---- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-i0tr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7747

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Whitney Slater

Address:
poulsbo, WA,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-a5kp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7748

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael McCandless

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Michael S. McCandless

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-pqb7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7749

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Concerned American

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-fme8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7750

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-5seu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7752

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Tim M.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-x4na
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7753

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Taglieber

Address:

P.O. Box 62

Jackson Center, OH, 45334

Email: jtag@woh.rr.com

Phone: 937/419-0500

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

James R. Taglieber

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-ko90 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7754

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Keever

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard Keever

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-bt3h Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7756

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Watts

Address:

1309 North Market Street

Washington, NC, 27889-3657

Email: armydad@suddenlink.net

Phone: 2529740325

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John Watts
Washington NC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-qvcp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7757

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mikel Conner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-vh6z
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7759

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Knoeffel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bryan Knoeffel

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-8vvd Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7760

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-m9we Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7761

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: H A Stupka

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely H. A. Stupka

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-rgn9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7768

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Inman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Stephen W. Inman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-nwum Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7770

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Jones

Address:

20 Samson Lane
Middletown, RI, 02842

Email: gelul@cox.net

Phone: 4018485394

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new Orwellian regulations in their entirety. Like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David E. M. Jones

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-2qjo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7771

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Johnson

Address:

5120 newberg st
Brooklyn center, MN, 55445

Phone: 7635663148

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Brian Menz

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-6cg5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7774

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nate Burrows

Address:

120 Timberlake Dr
Ardmore, AL, 35739

Email: natpro7420@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Nate Burrows

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-kocd
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7775

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael DuFresne

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael DuFresne

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-ysb0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7776

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Guida

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Adam Guida

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-506s
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7778

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Barber

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

James T. Barber

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-r4px
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7779

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Paul Zullo

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcن-rqe9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7780

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rudolph T. Lipinski II

Address:

4104 maxwell ave.
erie, PA, 16504

Email: osmosis_51@yahoo.com

Phone: 814 217 9817

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely & respectfully

Rudolph T. Lipinski II,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-2kmt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7781

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stacy Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Stacy

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-75m7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7782

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Collins

Address:

5441 Magnolia Street

Commerce City, CO, 80022

Email: cnlcollins@hotmail.com

Phone: 303-287-5772

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Charles E. Collins

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcncnwo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7783

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerzy Novak

Address:

15411 Howe st
Omaha, NE, 68144

Email: Jerzynov@cox.net

Phone: 402-333-2260

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jerzy Novak

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-lkyv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7784

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremiah gigel smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, JG Smith.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-s37r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7785

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Richard

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-uqvp
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7787

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Adams

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Larry Adams

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-ow07
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7788

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Martin Franklin

Address:

230 W Pacific

Casa Grande, AZ, 85122

Email: mrobzo@hotmail.com

Phone: 520-509-6520

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Martin Franklin

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-h13s Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7789

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: M.F. Gilardi

Address:

P.O. Box 356

Bodega Bay, CA, 94923

Email: mgjbseeker1@yahoo.com

Phone: 7075555555

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I most strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also most strongly oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I therefore most strongly urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely Yours

M.F. Gilardi

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-hq0v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7790

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Adkins

Address:

1412 11th st

Onawa, IA, 51040

Email: gdadkins51040@yahoo.com

Phone: 712-219-0100

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-96wj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7791

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-4c5q Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7792

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Warsheski

Address:

Bristol, PA, 19007

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael Warsheski

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-534y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7793

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bob Oakes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Bob Oakes

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-q2ns
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7795

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Delwin Jones

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Delwin Jones

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-m2lx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7796

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Glover

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John Glover

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-42oq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7798

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Zarbock

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Tim Zarbock

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-cjpx
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7799

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
David

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-bv7z Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7800

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: richard libbey

Address:

2120 Ocean Boulevard

FEMA Region 2

Atlantic Beach, NY, 11509

Email: richardlibbey@yahoo.com

Phone: 5167823665

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I understand you want unlimited control over the citizens of the United States but I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Department's arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. 3D printers are advancing our idea of what is possible every day but you are

willing to kill the future because you have a desire to disarm us and our technological future and leave us as uneducated potential victims..

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-hw6f Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7801

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Johnston

Address:

9723 9th st se
WA,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Signed,
Jim Johnston

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-owvy Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7802

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Clyde Cridlin

Address:

85 Ellett Rd

Christiansburg, VA, 24073

Email: ccridlin@verizon.net

Phone: 5403823968

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-wfmt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7803

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Iris Hooke

Address:

491 Mill Ln.

Monterey, 24465

Email: ibhooke@htcnet.org

Phone: 540-468-2375

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Iris Hooke

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-7qjm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7804

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-7789 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7805

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Meskimen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-s112
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7806

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pete Benfield

Address:

146 Shenandoah Rd

Poplarville, 39470

Email: cadenz1@fastmail.fm

General Comment

To state department Employees:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Pete Benfield

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-d80i
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7807

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darlene Richison

General Comment

I STRONGLY OPPOSE the re- write of the state department's arms control regulations (ITAR) which could potentially grant the state department a wide ranging power to monitor and control gun related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-8k4w Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7809

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-4wtt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7810

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Hanna

Address:

5072 Springdale
Cincinnati, 45251

Email: mhanna500@gmail.com

Phone: 513-570-7683

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mark Hanna

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-k7a2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7811

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Tim Chaffee

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-ao6g Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7812

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alex Boucher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Alex Boucher

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-saxr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7813

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Szejnk

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert Szejnk

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-80wq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7815

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Mace

Address:

1751 NE Franklin Ave
Bremerton, WA, 98311

Email: training@pscnet.net

Phone: 360-377-5188

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

As an NRA Certified Firearms Instructor, with over 30 years experience, I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. It is my goal as an instructor to provide training to actual and potential firearms owners so they can become responsible firearms owners, much of this interaction is conducted over the internet. I cant see the logic in regulating this type of communication.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama

administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jim Mace
Training Coordinator
Poulsbo Sportsman Club
NRA Life Member
Certified Instructor
Training Councilor &
Chief Range Safety Officer
training@pscnet.net
H - 360-377-5188

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-7ste Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7816

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, David Newbury

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc4n3b
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7817

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Campbell

Address:

4210 Fizer Ave.

Memphis, TN, 38111

Email: trc901@gmail.com

Phone: 901-628-3867

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Thomas R. Campbell Jr.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-cwo2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7818

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Manuel Feliz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Manuel E Feliz

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-lvxb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7820

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Steve DeForest

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-1iei
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7821

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Ty

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-dwft Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7822

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: al shabty

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
AL SHABTY

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-pcuq Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7823

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-lrwb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7824

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fernando Benetti

Address:

1213 Lause rd

Bullheadcity, AZ, 86442

Email: benettitransport@yahoo.com

Phone: 9282341819

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Fernando Benetti

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-nnhh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7825

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Underfinger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

**Ad Hoc Coalition for Effective Export Control Reform
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. – Suite 1025
Washington, DC 20006**

August 3, 2015

VIA E-MAIL (publiccomments@bis.doc.gov AND DDTCTPublicComments@state.gov)

Ms. Hillary Hess
Director, Regulatory Policy Division
Bureau of Industry and Security
U.S. Department of Commerce
Room 2099B
Washington, DC 20230

Mr. C. Edward Peartree
Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls Policy
Directorate of Defense Trade Controls
U.S. Department of State
PM/DDTC, SA-1, 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20522

REF: RIN 0694-AG32 (BIS) AND RIN 1400-AD70 (DDTC)

RE: Comments on Proposed Revisions to Certain EAR and ITAR Definitions

Dear Ms. Hess and Mr. Peartree:

The Ad Hoc Coalition for Effective Export Control Reform (“CEECR”)¹ appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed rules published by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) and the U.S. Department of State, Directorate of Defense Controls (“DDTC”) on June 3, 2015 (80 Fed. Reg. 31505 and 80 Fed. Reg. 31525, respectively) concerning proposed revisions to certain definitions in the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR”) and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (“ITAR”) (individually, the “BIS Proposed Rule” and the “DDTC Proposed Rule,” and collectively, the “June 3 Proposed Rules”).

The CEECR believes that the expressed aims, scope, and substance of the June 3 Proposed Rules are linked to those set forth in the proposed rule on Wassenaar Arrangement 2013 Plenary Agreements Implementation that BIS published on May 20, 2015 (80 Fed. Reg. 28853) (RIN 0694-AG49) (the “May 20 Proposed Rule” or the “Wassenaar Arrangement Implementation Rule”). Accordingly, Section XI contains comments relating to the May 20 Proposed Rule for consideration by BIS.

¹ The Ad Hoc Coalition for Effective Export Control Reform (“CEECR”) includes the following individuals: Geoffrey M. Goodale, Managing Member, Trade Law Advisors, PLLC (Washington, DC); Andrea Fekkes Dynes, Staff Vice President and Associate General Counsel, General Dynamics (Falls Church, VA); Kay C. Georgi, Partner, Arent Fox LLP (Washington, DC); Gwendolyn W. Jaramillo, Partner, Foley Hoag LLP (Boston, MA); Jonathan M. Meyer, Attorney-at-Law (New York, NY); Jason I. Poblete, Partner, Poblete Tamargo LLP (Washington, DC); Christopher B. Stagg, Partner, Stagg Noonan LLP (Washington, DC); Roland L. Trope, Partner, Trope & Schramm LLP (New York, NY); Michael L. Burton and Douglas N. Jacobson, Members, Jacobson Burton PLLC (Washington, DC) (on behalf of TRW Automotive U.S. LLC d/b/a ZF TRW and other firm clients). The comments set forth in this submission are fully supported by these individuals, but they do not necessarily reflect the views of the entities by which they are employed or whom they represent.

The CEECR applauds the U.S. Government's efforts to amend the EAR and the ITAR as part of the Obama Administration's ongoing Export Control Reform ("ECR") initiative. It is quite apparent from the text of the June 3 Proposed Rules, from comments that agency officials have made regarding on the June 3 Proposed Rules, and from the experience of our members in analyzing the June 3 Proposed Rules that much thought went into the proposed definitions that are referenced in the June 3 Proposed Rules.

In our view, many of the proposed definitions that are set forth in the June 3 Proposed Rules represent significant improvements over earlier versions of proposed definitions that have previously been issued by BIS and DDTC. However, it is the CEECR's view that the proposed definitions for certain terms under the EAR and ITAR could be further improved by making the changes or clarifications that are recommended below.

I. "Export" and "Reexport" Under the EAR and the ITAR

A. "Subject to the EAR" in Proposed EAR § 734.13 and EAR § 734.14

In the BIS Proposed Rule, the term "subject to the EAR" is not referenced in the proposed definition of "export" under EAR § 734.13, whereas that term has been used in connection with the current definition of "export" under the existing EAR. For purposes of clarity, the CEECR recommends that the term "subject to the EAR" be added in the applicable places in the proposed definition for "export" under EAR § 734.13. Specifically, we propose that the term "of items subject to the EAR" be inserted after the words "shipment or transmission" in subsection (a)(1). We also propose adding the words "subject to the EAR" before the words "to a foreign national" in subsection (a)(2), before the words "in clear text" and the words "to a foreign national" in subsection (a)(6), and before the words "to a foreign national" in subsection (b).²

Similarly, we recommend adding the term "subject to the EAR" and additional changes to proposed EAR § 734.13(c) so that the text would read as follows.

The export of an item subject to the EAR that will transit through a country or countries to a destination country, or will be transshipped in a country or countries to a destination country, or are intended for export to the ~~new~~ destination country, is deemed to be an export to the ~~new~~ destination country and not to the countries of transit or transshipment.

This recommended text also has the benefit of adding clarity by substituting the term "destination country" for the term "new country" that exists in the proposed definition referenced in the BIS Proposed Rule and by adding the phrase or replacing the term "new country" in several places in sections 13(c) and 14(c) with the "and not to the countries or transit or transshipment" at the end of the proposed definition.

² See also Section I.B.1 for additional recommended changes to proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(6) and related proposed EAR § 734.14(a)(4).

For the reasons discussed above, the CEECR also recommends that conforming changes along the lines discussed above be made to the applicable parts of the proposed definition of "reexport" in proposed EAR § 734.14. Specifically, the CEECR proposes that the term "subject to the EAR" be added after the words "shipment or transmission" in subsection (a)(1), before the words "to a foreign national" in subsection (a)(2), and before the words "to a foreign national" in subsection (a)(4). We note that subsections 734.14(b) and (c) already include the phrase "subject to the EAR", as we have proposed should be the case in the corresponding subsections of proposed section 734.13.

B. Proposed New Definition for Export – Release or Transfer of Decryption Keys, Network Access Codes, Passwords, etc.

1. Proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(6)
(And Conforming Changes to Proposed EAR § 734.14(a)(4))

Under the BIS Proposed Rule, the proposed definition for "export" under EAR § 734.13(a)(6) reads as follows:

(6) "releasing or otherwise transferring decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, 'software,' or other information with 'knowledge' that such provision will cause or permit the transfer of other 'technology' in clear text or 'software' to a foreign national." (emphasis added).

The CEECR understands that the BIS does not intend to include in the definition of export the mere act of releasing decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, 'software,' or other information but rather intends to focus on those situations where an individual undertakes such an act with knowledge that it will cause and result in a transfer of the EAR-controlled technology or software. However the word "permit" is overly broad as any release of decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, 'software,' or other information could technically "permit" such access.

The CEECR also believes that the terms "cause or permit" may be overly broad with regard to access issues and do not match the "result in" terminology in proposed EAR § 764.2(l). We believe the terms "cause or permit" could be interpreted more broadly than BIS intends, to include scenarios in which, for example: (a) a person has a decryption key stored in a briefcase in the same room as a foreign national who does not even know that the decryption key is in the briefcase because this might in theory "permit" the foreign national to have access to the decryption key; or (b) during a factory tour a foreign person receives access to an area adjacent to an area containing controlled information and breaks into the area containing controlled information. Under the latter scenario, taking the person on the factory tour may be one of the "causes" of the break-in, but it is certainly not a "sufficient cause." As such, the CEECR favors using the term **"result in"** instead of "cause or permit."

In addition, the CEECR believes that using the qualifier "in clear text or 'software'" within proposed paragraph (a)(6) could result in some confusion. This is because some exporters might not think drawings, diagrams, specifications or other non-prose information is included within the term "clear text" or "software." In the preamble to the BIS Proposed Rule, BIS has

indicated that “[t]he meaning of ‘clear text’ in the proposed definition is no different than an industry standard definition, e.g., information or software that is readable without any additional processing and is not encrypted. Comments are encouraged regarding whether a specific EAR definition of the term is warranted and, if so, what the definition should be.” While the term “clear text” may have an industry definition within the computer/information security industry, we are uncertain that it has a uniform meaning in that industry, or that its meaning is generally known within other industries.

For the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(6) be revised to read, in relevant part, as follows:

(6) “releasing or otherwise transferring decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, ‘software,’ or other information with ‘knowledge’ that such provision will result in cause or permit the transfer of other ‘technology’ in unencrypted format clear-text or ‘software’ in source code format to a foreign national.”³

Alternatively, if BIS wishes to retain the term “clear text” in proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(6), the CEECR proposes that BIS define the term “clear text” to mean “information that is readable without further decryption.” In addition, the CEECR recommends that BIS provide additional clarification regarding the term “software” since BIS is proposing to exclude from the definition of “export” transfers of object code to foreign nationals. See proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(2).

Furthermore, for all of the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that conforming changes along the lines of those proposed above be made to the proposed definition of “reexport” in proposed EAR § 734.14(a)(4).

2. Proposed ITAR § 120.17(a)(6)
(And Conforming Changes to Proposed ITAR §120.19)

Like the expansion of the definition of “Export” under the EAR, the new proposed ITAR § 120.17(a)(6) addresses the release or transfer of decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, software to a foreign person. However, the proposed ITAR definition differs significantly from the proposed EAR in the following two respects. First, unlike the EAR, the ITAR definition includes in the definition of “Export” the mere act of “providing physical access that would allow access to other technical data.” Second, unlike the EAR, the ITAR definition includes in the definition of “Export” situations where **no** technical data has been or will be transferred to a foreign person. In the preambles to the referenced proposed rules, both DDTC and BIS have requested input from the public regarding the different formulations for this control.

³ See also Section I.A. for additional recommended changes to proposed EAR § 734.13(a)(6) and related EAR § 734.14(a)(4).

The CEECR believes that the proposed revised definition for “Export” in ITAR § 120.17(a)(6) is overly broad because, as written, it captures scenarios where a foreign person has been provided mere physical access to decryption keys, network access, or passwords but no actual transfer of ITAR-controlled technical data occurs. See similar discussion above relating to EAR § 734.13(a)(6) for examples of situations where mere physical access does not result in any export of controlled information, as a matter of fact. As written, the definition would capture all situations where “access” was provided (perhaps by mistake), regardless of other facts such as period of time involved (unfettered long-term access versus short-term access) and the reality of whether technical data was actually transferred to a foreign person as a matter of fact.

For all the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that proposed ITAR § 120.17(a)(6) be revised to read as follows:

(6) Releasing or otherwise transferring ~~information such as~~ decryption keys, network access codes, passwords, software, or other information with knowledge that such provision will result in the transfer of other in unencrypted format or ‘software’ in source code format to a foreign person.

Furthermore, for all of the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that conforming changes along the lines of those proposed above be made to the proposed definition of “reexport” in ITAR § 120.19.

II. “Release” Under the EAR and the ITAR

A. Proposed EAR § 734.15

The CEECR commends BIS for seeking to create a new definition for the term “release” under proposed EAR § 734.15. As noted in the preamble to the BIS Proposed Rule, the proposed new definition of “release” would only apply to inspections of an item or applications of knowledge or technical experience that “actually reveal controlled technology or source code” to a foreign national. See 80 Fed. Reg. 31505, 31508 (June 3, 2015). The preamble goes on to explain that “merely seeing equipment does not necessarily mean that the seer is able to glean any technology from it and, in any event, not all visible information pertaining to equipment is necessarily ‘technology’ subject to the EAR.” We believe the language in the definition of release is reasonably clear when read together with the preamble to the proposed rule.

However, after the new definition becomes effective, it may not be completely clear when reading the definition alone what BIS intended by the term “inspection”, and by the two references to conduct that “reveals” technology or source code subject to the EAR to a foreign national. To ensure the language in the EAR is clear on its face, without also having to find and review the preamble to the proposed rule, we recommend that BIS take the following actions:

- (a) replace the phrase “visual or inspection” with “visual or other examination” or “close inspection by visual or other means”; and
- (b) to replace the two instances of the term “reveals” with the term “actually reveals” or “actually conveys”.

In addition, for the reasons discussed above under section I.A, the CEECR proposes adding the words “subject to the EAR” after the words “by a foreign national of items” in proposed EAR § 734.15(a)(1) and before the words “in the United States or abroad” in proposed EAR § 734.15(a)(2).

B. Proposed ITAR § 120.50

The CEECR agrees with the decision by DDTC to create and define the term “release” under proposed ITAR § 120.50 and for taking actions to make that definition consistent with the definition of “release” under proposed EAR § 734.15. For the reasons discussed above, the CEECR also recommends that conforming changes along the lines discussed above relating to EAR § 734.15 be made to the applicable parts of proposed ITAR § 120.50, except that the term “subject to the EAR” language should not be added anywhere in proposed ITAR § 120.50.

III. “Activities that are not exports reexports, or transfers” Under the EAR and ITAR

A. Proposed EAR § 734.18

Under proposed EAR § 734.18(a)(4), certain activities are excluded from the proposed definitions of export, reexport and transfer, including:

(4) sending, taking, or storing technology or software that is:

- (i) Unclassified;
- (ii) Secured using end-to-end encryption;
- (iii) Secured using cryptographic modules (hardware or software) compliant with Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 140-2 (FIPS 140-2) or its successors, . . . , or other similarly effective means; and
- (iv) Not stored in a country listed in Country Group D:5 (*see* Supplement 1 to part 740 of the EAR) or in the Russian Federation. (emphasis added).

The CEECR recommends that BIS clarify its intention that an electronic transmission (*e.g.*, an e-mail) which may *transit* a country in Country Group D:5 or in the Russian Federation, and which otherwise meets the requirements of subsection (4), falls within the scope of activities that are not exports, reexports, or transfers. Specifically, such electronic transmissions are not “stored” in a country listed in Country Group D:5 or the Russian Federation. Thus, for example, a party sending an email that contains technology subject to the EAR, using end-to-end encryption and meeting the other requirements of subsection 4 can rely on such an electronic transmission *not* to constitute an export, reexport or transfer provided the party does not know that the email server is located in Country Group D:5 or in the Russian Federation.

B. Proposed ITAR § 120.52

Under proposed ITAR § 120.52, certain activities are excluded from the proposed definitions of export, reexport and transfer, including:

(4) sending, taking, or storing technical data or software that is:

(i)Unclassified;

(ii) Secured using end-to-end encryption;

(iii) Secured using cryptographic modules (hardware or software) compliant with Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 140-2 (FIPS 140-2) or its successors, supplemented by software implementation, cryptographic key management and other procedures and controls that are in accordance with guidance provided in current U.S. National Institute for Standards and Technology publications; and

(iv) Not stored in a country proscribed in §126.1 of this subchapter or the Russian Federation. (emphasis added)

The CEECR recommends that DDTC clarify its intention that an electronic transmission (such as an email) which may *transit* a country proscribed in §126.1 of this subchapter or the Russian Federation, and which otherwise meets the requirements of subsection (4), falls within the scope of activities that are not exports, reexports, or retransfers. Specifically, such electronic transmissions are not “stored” in a country proscribed in §126.1 of this subchapter or the Russian Federation. Thus, for example, a party sending an email that contains unclassified technical data, using end-to-end encryption and meeting the other requirements of subsection 4 can rely on such an electronic transmission *not* to constitute an export, reexport or transfer provided the party does not know that the email server is located in a country proscribed in ITAR § 126.1 or in the Russian Federation.

IV. “Activities that are not ‘deemed reexports’” Under the EAR**A. The Term “Is Certain” in Proposed EAR § 734.20**

In the BIS Proposed Rule, proposed EAR § 734.20(a)(2) states that a “deemed reexport” does not occur if an entity:

[i]s certain that the foreign national’s most recent country of citizenship or permanent residency is that of a country to which export from the United States of the “technology” or “source code” at issue would be authorized by the EAR either under a license exception, or in situations where no license under the EAR would be required.” (emphasis added)

Significantly, the term “certain” is not defined in the current EAR or in the BIS Proposed Rule, and as such, use of the term may cause confusion. Moreover, as a practical matter, it is not generally possible for companies to achieve 100% certainty about the citizenship or residency status of nationals of their own country, let alone dual or third country nationals.

The CEECR does not believe that the intent of BIS was to set an impossibly high standard or to create a strict liability standard under which a company may be found liable for improperly reexporting to a dual/third country national even if the company reasonably relies on ordinary identification documents, passports, visas, etc. to determine the nationality or residency of an individual. However, if that was BIS’s intent, we do not believe that such a strict liability standard is appropriate. Non-U.S. entities that receive controlled items and technology should be allowed to use ordinary means of determining the citizenship or residency of an individual. Requiring them to achieve “certainty” could effectively stifle cooperation with close allies because it would make it far harder for companies inside close U.S. allies U.S. to collaborate with U.S. companies on export-controlled projects, which collaboration it is a major objective of export reform to promote.

For the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that the term “has knowledge” be substituted for the term “is certain” in applicable places in proposed EAR § 734.20(a)(2). The CEECR believes that the term “has knowledge” is more clear (and consistent with other portions of the EAR) than the term “is certain” and is more in line with the objectives of BIS.

B. Proposed EAR §§ 734.20(b)-(c)

Proposed subsections (b) and (c) of proposed EAR § 734.20 exclude from the concept of “deemed reexport” other releases of technology or source code, by an entity outside the United States, to foreign national employees, if the employee is a national only of a country in Country Group A:5, or if certain specified clearances, screening measures or safeguards are in place. One of the requirements for the subsection (b) and (c) exclusions from the concept of “deemed reexport” is that the “release of ‘technology’ or ‘source code’ takes place entirely within the physical territory” of a country in Country Group A:5, or the country in which the entity releasing the technology or source code “is located, conducts official business, or operates.”

Modern electronic communications often involve conduct falling within the definition of “release” that occurs in more than one location. It will often be the case that a release of U.S.-origin technology or software could be said to take place partially within the United States and partially within the country in which the foreign person employee is located. In each case we believe that it would be consistent with the purposes of these exceptions, and would make them more practical and straightforward to apply, if the restriction on the location of the release also included the physical territory of the United States. For these reasons, the CEECR proposes that the words “or within the physical territory of the United States” be added at the end of each of subsections (b)(4) and (c)(3) of proposed EAR § 734.20.

V. “Knowledge” and “Violations” Under the June 3 Proposed Rules

A. Proposed EAR § 764.2(l)

Under proposed EAR § 764.2(l), it is stated that the “release” or transfer of data security-related information (*e.g.*, decryption keys, network access codes, or passwords) “with ‘knowledge’ that the release will result, directly or indirectly, in an unauthorized export, reexport, or transfer of the ‘technology’” will constitute a violation to the same extent as a violation in connection with the export of the controlled “technology” or “software.” The CEECR supports the inclusion of a knowledge qualifier in this proposed new CEECR of the EAR.

However, the CEECR notes that the terms “directly or indirectly” may be confusing when speaking of decryption keys and access issues. This is because transferring or releasing an encryption key or granting access is inherently only an indirect way to export technology or software. Use of the term “indirect” here raises numerous questions, such as (a) whether failing to secure all possible vulnerabilities against hackers (an impossibility) would in and of itself constitute a violation (because there is knowledge that this could “indirectly” result something), and (b) whether failing to properly train an employee who falls victim to a “phishing” attack is a violation (because there is knowledge that a foreign national might “indirectly” use the attack to steal export controlled technology or software). Accordingly, the CEECR proposes that the terms “directly or indirectly” be deleted from proposed EAR § 764.2(l).

B. Inconsistency of Statements on “Knowledge” in the Preamble and the BIS Proposed Rule

The BIS Proposed Rule indicates that the term “knowledge” within the definition of “export” would limit the scope of the term “export.” However, in the preamble to the BIS Proposed Rule, BIS raises the issue of whether a party that acts without “knowledge” may still be guilty of violations. BIS states that the proposed rule would:

Add text prohibiting the release or other transfer of information (*e.g.*, decryption keys, passwords or access codes) with knowledge that such release or other transfer will result in an unauthorized export, reexport or transfer of other technology or software. This addition provides specific grounds for bringing charges with respect to one particular type of misconduct. However, existing EAR provisions, including the prohibition on causing, aiding or abetting a violation of the EAR or license, authorization or order could be used to bring charges for that same type of misconduct.

80 Fed. Reg. at 31513 (emphasis added).

The CEECR is concerned that the underlined language above is in tension with the stated intent to use a knowledge qualifier within the proposed definitions of “export” and “reexport” set forth in the BIS Proposed Rule. The above language appears to say that the “same type of conduct” that is not a violation because there is no “knowledge” of a transfer could nevertheless be considered “causing, aiding or abetting a violation.” Our understanding is that BIS’s intention

was to say that “causing, aiding or abetting a violation of the EAR or license, authorization or order could be used to bring charges for other or related conduct even if there is no “knowledge” that a transfer will occur with respect to transfer of a particular technology or software.” Accordingly, the CEECR requests that BIS provide clarification on this issue in the final rule.

C. Proposed ITAR §§ 127.1 (a)(6) and §127.1 (b)(4)

The DDTC Proposed Rule would add two new subsections describing activities that constitute violations of the ITAR.

- Proposed ITAR § 127.1(a)(6) would make it unlawful “to export, reexport, retransfer, or otherwise make available to the public technical data or software if such person has knowledge that the technical data or software was made publicly available without an authorization described in CEECR 120.11(b) of this subchapter. (emphasis added).
- In contrast, proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) would make it unlawful “to release or transfer information, such as decryption keys, network access codes, or passwords that would allow access to other technical data in clear text or to software that will result, directly or indirectly, in an unauthorized export, reexport, or retransfer of the technical data in clear text or software. Violation of this provision will constitute a violation to the same extent as a violation in connection with the export of the controlled technical data or software.” (emphasis added)

In proposed ITAR § 127.1(a)(6), DDTC does not penalize the act where an individual exports/reexports/retransfers information obtained from a public resource, such as the Internet, when such individual does not have knowledge that information is subject to ITAR. Rather, DDTC criminalizes the act where the individual exports, reexports, transfers such information with knowledge that it contains ITAR-controlled technical data or software which was made publicly available without an authorization.

However, proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) does not similarly address those situations where an individual acts with or without knowledge; but rather it equally penalizes both acts. As a result, for example, an individual who provides (perhaps mistakenly) a network password to a foreign person without knowledge that it will result in access to technical data, would be liable for such acts – *even when no actual export of ITAR-controlled technical data results*.

The strict liability approach taken in proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) is inconsistent with proposed ITAR § 127.1(a)(6) (which would result in no liability for mistaken acts, even though an actual export of ITAR-controlled technical data would result). Proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) also would be inconsistent with proposed EAR § 764.2(1), which has a knowledge requirement similar to that of ITAR § 127.1(a)(6). *See* discussion above in Section V.A.

The CEECR urges DDTC to revise proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) to be consistent with proposed ITAR § 127.1(a)(6) in terms of including a knowledge or scienter requirement, which also would be consistent with proposed EAR § 764.2(1). Specifically, we recommend that proposed ITAR § 127.1(b)(4) be revised as follows to make it unlawful:

“to release or transfer information, such as decryption keys, network access codes, or passwords **with knowledge that such provision will result**, directly or indirectly, in an unauthorized export, reexport, or retransfer of the technical data in clear text or software.”

In addition, we propose that a safe harbor be created for instances in which the release or transfer of decryption keys, network access codes, or passwords does not actually result in the disclosure of technical data in clear text or software to a foreign person. We recommend that the following language be added to create such a safe harbor:

“Violation of this provision will be **presumed** to constitute a violation to the same extent as a violation in connection with the export of the controlled technical data or software **unless the exporter can establish to the Department’s satisfaction that the release or transfer of the decryption keys, network access codes or passwords, did not result in the actual access to technical data in clear text or to software by a foreign person.**”

VI. “Required” and “Peculiarly Responsible” Under the BIS Proposed Rule

A. Proposed Definitions of “Required” and “Peculiarly Responsible” Under EAR § 772.1

The BIS rule adds a definition to “required” stating that the term refers “only to that portion of ‘technology’ and ‘software’ that is peculiarly responsible for achieving or exceeding the controlled performance levels, characteristics or functions.” The BIS then defines “peculiarly responsible” by using a catch and release technique employed under the “specially designed” section of the EAR and ITAR.

The CEECR believes that, due to the unique nature of technology and software, using the “catch and release” technique is a both significant departure from the EAR’s General Technology Note and an expansion of the controlled technology and software that will no longer be based on the technology or software being responsible for achieving control parameters.

The current EAR contain an element of causality in its definition of “required” in the following example, which is maintained in the current proposed definition of required:

For example, assume product “X” is controlled if it operates at or above 400 MHz and is not controlled if it operates below 400 MHz. If production technologies “A”, “B”, and “C” allow production at no more than 399 MHz, then technologies “A”, “B”, and “C” are not “required” to produce the controlled product “X”. If technologies “A”, “B”, “C”, “D”, and “E” are used together, a manufacturer can produce product “X” that operates at or above 400 MHz. In this example,

technologies “D” and “E” are “required” to make the controlled product and are themselves controlled under the General Technology Note. (See the General Technology Note.)

In other words, even though technologies A, B and C are used to produce controlled product X, because they contribute nothing to making product X operate at or above 400 MHz – the control level – they are not controlled. In other words, to use plain English,

- A, B and C are not “required” – they are not “wanted, needed or called for” to use the Webster’s definition⁴ -- to produce that characteristic;
- A, B and C are also not “peculiarly responsible” – they are not “exclusively”⁵ “answerable as the primary cause motive or agent”⁶

But if we use the new ‘catch and release’ definition proposed for “peculiarly responsible,” all three technologies are “caught” because they are “used in or for use in the development, production or use” of the controlled item in question. And there is no guarantee that they will be “released” under (b)(3)-(b)(6). The technologies/software may only be used in or for use in the development or production the controlled item and not an EAR99 or AT-controlled item that is in “production” – not because they cause the properties that are the reason for the control – but simply because they are not used elsewhere. And as a lesser technology or software, there may not be the design history or documentation necessary to meet the other reasons for release. In short, the catch and release may result in over-control of the A, B and C types of technology and software that are not important to the reasons for control, but just happen to be for use in or for use in the development production or use of the controlled item.

The CEECR believe that the technologies that the BIS seeks to control are those that can usefully be thought of as a “but-for” cause of an item achieving a specified level or threshold of performance. In the above-example, technologies “D” and “E” would qualify as “but-for” causes of a product “X” operating at or above 400 MHz. The focus on the “but-for” causes of performance is lost in the “catch and release” definition proposed for “peculiarly responsible.” Whereas the use of a “but-for” cause approach would be far easier for exporters to understand and implement, would result in a more intuitive and consistent definition of “peculiarly response”, and would avoid extending the control to technologies for which there would not appear to be a need or reason for control.

It should also be noted, that, by eliminating the causal link between the

⁴ Webster’s New International Dictionary of the English Language, 2117 (1942) (to “require” is “to demand or exact as necessary or appropriate; hence, to want; to need; to call for...” (hereinafter “Webster’s”).

⁵ Webster’s at 1801 (defining “peculiar” as an “exclusive property or privileged . . .”)

⁶ Webster’s at 2124 (defining “responsible” as “answerable as the primary, cause, motive, or agent, whether good or evil, creditable or chargeable with the result.”)

technology/software and the controlled commodity, the catch and release definition is changing the definition from that found in the dictionary – and the Wassenaar Arrangement (which does not define the term “peculiarly responsible”) to a very different definition found in neither the dictionary nor the Wassenaar Arrangement.

Put another way, just because a technology or software is used in or for a controlled item, and is not used in or for a non-controlled item (according to the proposed “catch and release” definition), does not mean that the technology or software is “wanted, needed or called for,” to use the Webster’s definition of “required,” or “exclusively” “answerable as the primary cause motive or agent,” to use the Webster’s definition of “peculiarly responsible” for making the item controlled. In short, the proposed definition might well cause the United States to interpret the term significantly differently the other Wassenaar Members.

Finally, the CEECR respectfully submits that the catch and release principals of “specially designed” are much more easily applied to parts, components, attachments and accessories, then it is to technologies. Due to its nature, it is more difficult to determine which technologies are used in different products, making the release part of the task particularly difficult to apply in real life.

In light of these concerns, the CEECR recommends that BIS omit the “catch and release” definition of “peculiarly responsible” and allow exporters to continue to rely on the dictionary definitions of “peculiarly responsible” and the A,B,C,D and E example provided in the “required” definition.

VII. “Required” Under the DDTC Proposed Rule

A. ITAR § 120.46

The DDTC Proposed Rule adds proposed ITAR § 120.46, stating that the term “required” refers “only to that portion of technical data that is peculiarly responsible for achieving or exceeding the controlled performance levels, characteristics or functions.” There are several recommendations that the CEECR wishes to make to this proposed definition of “required.”

As an initial matter, the CEECR notes that proposed ITAR § 120.46 does not make reference to “software.” Given that the definition of “required” under proposed EAR § 772.1 makes reference to both “technology” and “software,” we believe that the omission of the term “software” in proposed ITAR § 120.46 was an inadvertent error on the part of DDTC. Accordingly, the CEECR recommends that DDTC include the term “software” in the proposed definition of “required” when final rule is issued.

Second, for the same reason set forth above, the CEECR believes that DDTC should BIS omit the “catch and release” definition of “peculiarly responsible” and allow exporters to continue to rely on the dictionary definitions of “peculiarly responsible.”

If DDTC continues to use the “catch and release” definition of “peculiarly responsible,” however, the CEECR has the following suggestions.

First, the CEECR believes that proposed Paragraph 5 to proposed Note 3 to paragraph (a) of proposed ITAR § 120.46 should be revised. Proposed Note 3 to paragraph (a) to proposed ITAR § 120.46 states that technical data is peculiarly responsible for achieving or exceeding controlled performance levels, characteristics or functions “if it is used in or for use in the development . . . , production . . . , operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a defense article unless . . . 5. It was or is being developed for use in or with general purpose commodities or software (*i.e.* with no knowledge that it would be for use in or with a particular commodity)” (emphasis added).

For consistency and clarity, the CEECR recommends that DDTC revise Paragraph 5 to proposed Note 3 to paragraph (a) of proposed ITAR § 120.46 by substituting the phrase “defense article” for the phrase “particular commodity.” There are several reasons why such action would be beneficial.

First, we note that DDTC’s primary interest is in regulation of technical data associated with defense articles. Moreover, our understanding is that DDTC does not intend to use this note to control technical data pertaining to general use commodities, even if there is knowledge of which general use commodity it will be used with (*i.e.*, a “particular commodity”).

Second, the recommended change reconciles Note 3, paragraph 5 with Note 3, paragraph 4. Paragraph 4 excepts technical data that was, or is being, developed with knowledge that it is for use in or with both defense articles and commodities not on the U.S. Munitions List. Without some revision along the lines suggested here, paragraphs 5 could be read to carve out technical data that was developed with knowledge that it would be used with both defense articles and non-defense articles, while controlling technical data developed without knowledge that it would be used with a defense article. This does not appear to be consistent with the DDTC’s concern for regulating defense articles.

Third, the recommended substitution harmonizes the Note 3 with the corresponding proposed revisions to the EAR set forth in the BIS Proposed Rule relating to the proposed definition for the term “peculiarly responsible” in proposed revisions to proposed EAR § 772.1. Specifically, the BIS Proposed Rule carves out of the definition of “Peculiarly responsible” various scenarios, including when an item “was or is being developed with ‘knowledge’ that it would be for use in or with commodities or software described in . . . an ECCN controlled for AT-only reasons and also EAR99 commodities or software....” (proposed EAR § 772.1, “Peculiarly responsible, subparagraph (6).) Commodities or software falling under ECCNs controlled for reasons only of AT or under EAR99 are under less restrictive export controls than other items that are “peculiarly responsible” for achieving controlled performance levels. Our proposed recommendation relating to ITAR § 120.46, Note 3, paragraph 5 would render the proposed term “required” consistent with the proposed EAR definition of “peculiarly responsible” in this respect.

B. ITAR § 120.41

We note that the proposed definition of “required” tracks with the ITAR’s existing definition of “specially designed” (*see* ITAR § 120.41), and that the existing definition of “specially designed” contains similarly unclear language in paragraph (b)(5), referring to “a

particular commodity (e.g., a F/A-18) or type of commodity (e.g., an aircraft or machine tool)” when “a particular defense article (e.g., a F/A-18 or HMMWV) or type of defense article (e.g., an aircraft or machine tool).” It does not appear that there was any discussion of this aspect of the definition of “specially designed” in the promulgation of CEECR 120.41. *See* 78 Fed. Reg. 22747 (Apr. 16, 2013). As such, we recommend that DDTC also revise the definition of “specially designed” to substitute the words “particular defense article” for “particular commodity” and “type of defense article” for “type of commodity in ITAR § 120.41(b)(5).

VIII. Proposed ITAR § 120.9 – “Defense Service”

Under DDTC’s Proposed Rule, proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and its corresponding note provide:

(2) The furnishing of assistance (including training) to a foreign person (see § 120.16), whether in the United States or abroad, in the development of a defense article, or the integration of a defense article with any other item regardless of whether that item is subject to the ITAR or technical data is used;

Note to paragraph (a)(2): “Integration” means any engineering analysis (see § 125.4(c)(5) of this subchapter) needed to unite a defense article and one or more items. Integration includes the introduction of software to enable operation of a defense article, and the determination during the design process of where an item will be installed (e.g., integration of a civil engine into a destroyer that requires changes or modifications to the destroyer in order for the civil engine to operate properly; not plug and play). Integration is distinct from “installation.” Installation means the act of putting an item in its predetermined place without the use of technical data or any modifications to the defense article involved, other than to accommodate the fit of the item with the defense article (e.g., installing a dashboard radio into a military vehicle where no modifications (other than to accommodate the fit of the item) are made to the vehicle, and there is no use of technical data). The “fit” of an item is defined by its ability to physically interface or connect with or become an integral part of another item.

80 Fed. Reg. at 31534 (emphasis added to highlight text of particular concern).

Having reviewed the text of proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and the note thereto, as well as DDTC’s responses to prior comments, we believe that DDTC’s conditioning the term “installation” on there being “*no use of technical data*” is overbroad and could have significant negative consequences across a number of industries. As discussed below, we believe the proposed text of the Note has a number of drawbacks.

Inconsistency Between Section 120.9(a)(2) and its Note. To begin with, there is inconsistency between proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and its Note. The proposed text of Section 120.9(a)(2) defines “defense service” “*regardless of whether . . . technical data is used.*” The corresponding note, however, then makes the use of *any* technical data dispositive with regard to whether the service will be treated as “integration” rather than merely “installation” – apparently even when limited to “fit.” Thus, the proposed rule and note read in conjunction are internally

inconsistent because, as proposed, the determination of whether a defense service is rendered is not without regard to the use of technical data.

Receipt / Use of Technical Data is Common and Often Necessary When Specially Designing Components for Defense Articles. In addition, proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and its Note fail to recognize that the receipt and use of technical data is common and often necessary when specially designing components for defense articles. In the automotive, aerospace, and maritime sectors, for example, it is common for defense contractors manufacturing military platforms or their subsystems to contract with commercial suppliers for specific parts and components. As is commonly known, the form factor of these parts and components often must be modified in a variety of ways to fit the vehicle or aircraft, or an assembly thereof. Indeed, the transfer of jurisdiction from DDTC to BIS over such “600 Series” items expressly acknowledges this issue and has been a major goal and achievement of the ECRI.

As part of the process of developing, modifying, and manufacturing commercial items specially designed for use in defense articles, it is common and often necessary (though not always the case) that the manufacturer of the platform will provide certain technical data regarding the vehicle so the commercial component supplier can make appropriate modifications to the component to ensure that the form factor of the component will allow it to “fit” the vehicle – i.e., to physically interface or connect with or become an integral part of another item.

Which technical data is shared with the component manufacturer is determined by the vehicle manufacturer. In some cases, the vehicle manufacturer will provide very limited technical data regarding only those vehicle systems into which the component must fit. In other cases, the vehicle manufacturer might provide a broader range of data about the vehicle. In relatively few cases, however, would a defense contractor provide no technical data to component manufacturers that are specially designing components for a defense article.

Our concern, therefore, is that registration as a manufacturer / exporter under the ITAR and obtaining a Technical Assistance Agreement or other authorization under the ITAR would be required in many (or even most cases) merely to modify a commercial item for installation into a defense article – in addition to obtaining BIS authorization for export of the item.

Proposed Rule Threatens to Undercut ECR By Requiring DDTC and BIS Licenses for 600 Series Items. Moreover, as written, proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and its Note threaten to undercut the ECRI by in effect requiring both DDTC and BIS licenses for 600 Series Items. This potential dual licensing (and registration) requirement is inconsistent with and threatens to undercut what is a hallmark of the President’s ECRI. With due respect to differing perspectives, if the intent were to transfer control over specially designed components of defense articles to BIS but continue to regulate under the ITAR the process of component design and manufacture, the very rationale of the reform is called into question from the standpoint of industry. In short, we would urge great care in not allowing an (unintentionally) overbroad explanation of “integration” to gut the significant and welcome efficiencies that the ECRI has promised and can achieve. We note further that any such dual licensing is likely to be identified by foreign customers who will seek foreign sources of supply to “engineer around the ITAR.”

Modification / Engineering Analysis of the Defense Article *Beyond* Component “Fit” Is a More Reasonable Basis for Control under section 120.9(a)(2), Not Whether Technical Data was Provided or Relied Upon When Specially Designing the Component. Modification/engineering analysis of the defense article *beyond* component “fit” is a more reasonable basis for control under proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2), not whether technical data was provided or relied upon when specially designing the component. Whether a defense service is deemed to be exported would be more reasonably and objectively determined by the nature of the engineering analysis or “integration” provided to the foreign recipient (*i.e.*, the service), not the technical data provided to or relied upon by the component manufacturer specially designing a commercial item for “installation” into the defense article. We understand DDTC’s interest in asserting control over major modifications to the military platform *beyond* “fit.” For the reasons set forth above, however, we do not believe that modifications limited to “fit” – regardless of whether technical data is used – should be controlled as a defense service.

Introduction of Software Must Be “Required” for the Operation of a Defense Article to Constitute A Defense Service. On a separate but related issue, the CEECR has concerns regarding DDTC’s proposal to include in the definition of “integration” for purposes of the Note the following text: *“Integration includes the introduction of software to enable operation of a defense article....”* The language as proposed is significantly overbroad and should be revised.

Numerous examples come to mind where introducing or installing software on a defense article should not be controlled as a defense service – e.g., installing a commercial operating system (such as Windows 10) on a Category XI defense article. The CEECR believes it would be more appropriate to base the control of software introduction on whether the software introduced and/or some unique feature of the installation itself is “required” for operation of the defense article.

We recommend that the introduction of the software must be “required” – i.e., “peculiarly responsible for achieving or exceeding the controlled performance levels, characteristics, or functions.” Using such a defined term also is preferable to the undefined term “enable” in that it furthers the goal of consistency of interpretation across sections of the ITAR and the EAR.

As discussed above under Part VII, the CEECR believes that DDTC and BIS should omit the “catch and release” definition of “peculiarly responsible” and allow exporters to continue to rely on the dictionary definitions of “peculiarly responsible.” Moreover, we urge DDTC to revise the proposed definition of “required” in the ways discussed above under Part VII.

DDTC Should Harmonize Proposed ITAR §§ 120.9(a)(1) & (a)(2) to Preserve Distinction Between Installation and Integration. In its response to comments on the prior proposed rules regarding ITAR §120.9, DDTC writes:

The modifications of the “defense article” to accommodate the fit of the item to be integrated, which are within the activity covered by installation, are only those modifications to the “defense article” that allow the item to be placed in its predetermined location. Any modifications to the design of a “defense article” are beyond the scope of installation. Additionally, while minor modifications may be made to a “defense article” without the activity being controlled under (a)(2)

as an integration activity, all modifications of defense articles, regardless of sophistication, are activities controlled under (a)(1) if performed by someone with prior knowledge of U.S.-origin ‘technical data.’

80 Fed. Reg. at 31531 (emphasis added to highlight text of particular concern).

If DDTC intends to accept any of CEECR’s comments and suggested revisions to ITAR § 120.9(a)(2), then some harmonization is required to resolve the apparent trumping of subsection (a)(2) by (a)(1), if the person performing the installation has prior knowledge of U.S.-origin technical data. We believe this could be accomplished with additional clarifying language in the Note to subsection (a)(2) and have suggested this below.

In addition to our concerns about the impact on subsection (a)(2), the CEECR believes that DDTC’s defining whether a defense service is rendered by virtue of whether an engineer has knowledge of technical data is again overbroad. While we appreciate DDTC’s attempts to limit in certain respects what type of technical data an engineer might have in her head that would rise to the level of performing a defense service (e.g., technical data related to the same USML category as the current project), we believe it remains overbroad and not as well defined as industry would hope.

Under the current proposed rule, an engineer who had prior knowledge of technical data in Category XI could not perform any modification related to another Category XI item (even mere installation) without having rendered a defense service. We need not remind you how broad certain categories of the USML remain even after ECRI. We believe a more logical approach would be break the defense service analysis into elements to look at several factors to determine whether a defense service had been rendered, including for example, (1) knowledge and (2) use of (3) U.S.-origin (4) technical data (5) “required” (6) to modify (among other types of activities) (7) a defense article (8) beyond “installation” / “fit.”

We do not mean to suggest that this is a perfect alternate formulation, but it illustrates that the issue contains more facets than an engineer’s knowledge of technical data, which would benefit from a more refined rule. We note that the proposed revision to the Note to proposed subsection (a)(2) below does not alleviate this broader concern with (a)(1). It should, however, reconcile the tension between the two provisions.

Proposed Revision. For the reasons discussed above, the CEECR recommends that DDTC revise the Note to paragraph (a)(2) of proposed ITAR § 120.9 as follows (deletions are indicated with strike-throughs and additions are in small caps):

Note to paragraph (a)(2): “Integration” means any engineering analysis (see § 125.4(c)(5) of this subchapter) needed to unite a defense article and one or more items. Integration includes the introduction of software ~~to enable~~ “required” for operation of a defense article, and the determination during the design process of where an item will be installed (e.g., integration of a civil engine into a destroyer that requires changes or modifications to the destroyer in order for the civil engine to operate properly; not plug and play). Integration is distinct from “installation.” Installation means the act of putting an item in its predetermined place without ~~the~~

~~use of technical data or~~ any modifications to the defense article involved, other than to accommodate the fit of the item with the defense article (e.g., installing a dashboard radio into a military vehicle where no modifications (other than to accommodate the fit of the item) are made to the vehicle, ~~and there is no use of technical data~~). The “fit” of an item is defined by its ability to physically interface or connect with or become an integral part of another item. ([S]ee § 120.41). *A TRANSFER OF TECHNICAL DATA OR OTHERWISE HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF TECHNICAL DATA RELATED TO “FIT” OR PROVIDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACCOMMODATING THE “FIT” OF AN ITEM IN A DEFENSE ARTICLE IS NOT ITSELF SUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH “INTEGRATION” (E.G., LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLE MANUFACTURER PROVIDES A STEERING COLUMN MANUFACTURER TECHNICAL DATA REGARDING THE VEHICLE OR ITS SUBSYSTEMS TO ENABLE MODIFICATIONS TO A COMMERCIAL STEERING COLUMN, BUT NO TECHNICAL DATA RELATED TO MODIFICATIONS TO THE VEHICLE (OTHER THAN TO ACCOMMODATE THE FIT OF THE STEERING COLUMN) ARE TRANSFERRED FROM THE STEERING COLUMN MANUFACTURER TO THE VEHICLE MANUFACTURER).*

We believe that the suggested revisions above, including the addition of the last sentence, would be a reasonable solution to accommodate industry’s concerns – yet still safeguard national security interests.

Manufacturing and Production Consulting Services. U.S. persons that are consultants in specialized manufacturing and production optimization processes and techniques, such as Six Sigma and Lean Manufacturing, are often asked by foreign manufacturers of defense articles to provide consulting services in this area. The current definition of "defense services" is so broad that such services are captured when the services are associated with the manufacture or production of foreign defense articles.

While the proposed changes to ITAR § 120.9(a)(1) removes the term "manufacture" from the current definition and adds language attempting to limit the scope of "assistance" considered to be a defense services, the proposed definition may still unintentionally capture Six Sigma or Lean Manufacturing techniques associated with the production of a foreign defense article. For example, it is possible that a U.S. person who may have obtained some "knowledge of U.S. origin technical data directly related to the defense article that is subject to the assistance, prior to the performing of the service" in the foreign country. However, the mere knowledge of ITAR controlled technical data should not be sufficient to capture a production-related consulting service if the information conveyed is general in nature and does not change the technical specifications or military characteristics of a foreign defense article. For example, a U.S. person consultant may provide guidance to a foreign defense article manufacturer on how to optimize workflows of a production line used to manufacture defense articles. Similarly, a U.S. person consultant may recommend the use of a particular commercial-off-the-shelf adhesive in lieu of the current one being used. In both cases, the services provided should not be considered a defense service.

As a result, we recommend that an additional note to paragraph (a) be included as an example of an activity that is not a defense service:

10. The furnishing of consulting services to a foreign person in the production of a foreign defense article, such as Six Sigma or Lean Manufacturing techniques, as long as the information conveyed does not rely on U.S. origin technical data and does not change the technical specifications or military characteristics of a foreign defense article.

Absence of Comments on Other Aspects of Proposed ITAR § 120.9 Should *Not* Be Viewed as CEECR's Endorsement of Those Subsections. These comments primarily address certain elements of proposed ITAR § 120.9(a)(2) and its Note. We urge DDTC, however, not to infer from the lack of comments on other aspects of the rule that the CEECR endorses the rest of the proposed rule. While this version of proposed ITAR § 120.9 represents a significant improvement over prior proposed rules on defense services, the CEECR believes additional thought should be dedicated to this section in particular, given the complexities associated with controlling defense services. We would be happy to present additional comments to DDTC regarding other concerns and opportunities for improvement of the proposed rule.

IX. “Public Domain” Under the DDTC Proposed Rule

A. Public Domain-Related Assertions Relevant to Proposed ITAR § 120.11

In the preamble to the DDTC Proposed Rule, DDTC asserts that a requirement to obtain prior approval from DDTC or certain other U.S. Government agencies or officials before technical data can be deemed to be in the public domain, even if it has already been released to the public, is not a new requirement and is actually a currently existing requirement. The CEECR disagrees with this assertion and urges DDTC to revisit the history of this issue, and reconsider the proposed definition of Public Domain.

As an initial matter, it is important to note that a previously written prior approval requirement under the ITAR was repealed in 1984 due to First Amendment concerns. These concerns were expressed to DDTC by the Department of Justice on three occasions in 1978, 1981 and 1984. In addition, in 1981, the U.S. Congress recommended to the State Department that the ITAR be revised to avoid First Amendment issues.

Additionally, in a review of court cases involving the Arms Export Control Act since that time, DDTC has not asserted a prior approval requirement to put information into the public domain. In one case from 1996 that is directly tied to this discussion, an exporter in 1994 filed two commodity jurisdiction (CJ) requests. *See Karn v. Dep't. of State*, 925 F. Supp. 1 (D.D.C. 1996). In the first request, the exporter requested a determination of a textbook that concerned cryptography. The textbook included source code in print and on a diskette in an electronic text file. The second CJ request held that the source code on the diskette was ITAR-controlled software even though it was the identical source code that was printed in the textbook.

Of importance here, even though the textbook in *Karn* admittedly contained information required for the design, development, assembly, and manufacture of a defense article (*i.e.*,

technical data), DDTC held that the textbook was in the public domain. However, the textbook was published prior to the CJ determination. There is no evidence that indicates prior approval from the author or publisher of this textbook to place it into the public domain was sought or granted by DDTC. Similar to all the “technical data” published in other textbooks, journals, conferences, open meetings and on the Internet, it is doubtful that prior approval to publish the textbook was sought or required by DDTC. If it believed that prior approval was required to publish the book, DDTC did not articulate that view or, apparently, take steps to enforce it.

Since that court case, we are unaware of any other publicly known claim from DDTC that there is a prior approval requirement to put information into the public domain. Even in the ongoing litigation in *Defense Distributed v. Dep’t. of State*,⁷ DDTC has taken the position that “the regulations . . . carve out a wide exemption for ‘public domain’ data that helps ensure [the ITAR’s] reach is appropriately limited. . . . For this reason, there is simply no substantial overbreadth here.” Government Brief in Opposition at 22 (June 10, 2015).

While we note, that as a legal matter, the definition of public domain relates to an exclusion from the scope of the ITAR rather than an exemption from an otherwise subject ITAR requirement, even DDTC admits in federal court that without a public domain exclusion there would be constitutional issues under the First Amendment. If DDTC’s position is that there is a prior approval requirement to use an exclusion, then there is no public domain exclusion at all.

In addition, the CEECR notes with concern that DDTC’s assertion of a prior approval requirement to use the public domain exclusion provided in the definition of “technical data” in ITAR § 120.10(b) means that fundamental research performed by the academic and scientific community at accredited institutions of higher learning in the United States requires prior approval from the U.S. Government. It is difficult to imagine a scenario where DDTC’s asserted prior approval requirement on academic and scientific speech by the university community would survive First Amendment scrutiny.

For all of the reasons discussed above, the CEECR urges DDTC revisit the history of this issue, and reconsider the proposed definition of Public Domain and confirm there is no existing prior approval requirement.

B. Proposed ITAR § 120.11(b)

It is the CEECR’s view that proposed ITAR § 120.11(b), which relates to the prior approval requirement to put information into the public domain discussed above, would amount to an unconstitutional prior restraint. Moreover, even if the provisions set forth in proposed

⁷ While we have knowledge of this court case and DDTC’s May 8, 2013 letter to Defense Distributed that implies a prior approval requirement, we note that this is legally insufficient to serve as legally recognized public notice. DDTC’s private letter to Defense Distributed was not made public by DDTC but by Defense Distributed. Further, we only have knowledge of the lawsuit that was filed in 2015, because it was brought by Defense Distributed. DDTC has taken no action itself to make its material interpretation of the law known to the public.

ITAR § 120.11(b) were content-neutral, the First Amendment still requires that the U.S. Government establish specific procedural safeguards, and as written, the prior approval requirement lacks such constitutionally required procedural safeguards. Accordingly, the CEECR urges DDTC not to include proposed ITAR § 120.11(b) when issuing the final rule.

The procedural safeguards required under the First Amendment to impose a lawful prior restraint are: “(1) any restraint prior to judicial review can be imposed only for a specified brief period during which the status quo must be maintained; (2) expeditious judicial review of that decision must be available; and (3) the censor must bear the burden of going to court to suppress the speech and must bear the burden of proof once in court.” *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, 493 U.S. 215, 227-228 (1990).

Here, the ITAR expressly exempts judicial review of approval and licensing decisions in ITAR § 128.1, and it concedes that it is a “highly discretionary” system. Further, there are no strict timelines for a licensing or approval determination to be made. Additionally, there is added delay in receiving an approval because of the required Congressional notification under Section 38(f) of the Arms Export Control Act. The AECA also expressly prohibits judicial review of designations of items as on the U.S. Munitions List.

Significantly, a federal court already has held that key aspects of the ITAR were an unconstitutional prior restraint that failed to provide any procedural safeguards. *See Bernstein v. Dep’t. of State*, 945 F. Supp. 1279, 1289 (N.D. Cal. 1996). In that case, the court stated that “[t]he ITAR scheme, a paradigm of standardless discretion, fails on every count, and further noted that “[t]his court finds nothing in the ITAR that places even minimal discretion of the licensor and hence nothing to alleviate the danger of arbitrary or discriminatory licensing decisions.” *Id.* at 1286. The federal court even drew attention to DDTC ignoring a discussion on procedural safeguards in defending the lawsuit. *Id.* at 1286 (“[DDTC’s] arguments . . . are notable for the conspicuous absence of discussion of the prior restraint doctrine”).

In light of the above precedent, and considering that proposed ITAR § 120.11(b) does not provide the constitutionally required safeguards, the CEECR urges DDTC not to include proposed ITAR § 120.11(b) when issuing the final rule.

C. Proposed ITAR § 120.11 – Note 1

Note 1 to proposed ITAR § 120.11 makes no distinction between public domain and restricted information, and as such, it can be read to require government authorization before publishing, disseminating, or exporting any and all information. This is an undue burden that would require submission to the U.S. Government of every journal article, speech, book, and manuscript prior to any attempts to publish them. It would put undue liability on anyone who receives such potential information as requiring proof that consent from the government was obtained in order to publish said information, and there is no format or methodology given for obtaining this consent. For all of these reasons, the CEECR recommends that DDTC not include Note 1 to proposed ITAR § 120.11 when issuing the final rule.

D. Proposed ITAR § 120.6(b)(3)(iii)

Proposed ITAR § 120.6(b)(3)(iii) states that items that “concern general scientific, mathematical, or engineering principles commonly taught in schools . . .” are not defense articles subject to the ITAR. The CEECR requests that the word “general” be deleted as it is not defined and could limit what is covered to only entry-level courses as opposed to a broad range of scientific instruction.

Significantly, courts have held that only information “significantly and directly related to defense articles” are subject to the ITAR. *See United States v. Edler Industries*, 579 F. 2d 516 (9th Cir. 1978). It is hard to imagine that any scientific, mathematical, or engineering principles *commonly* taught in schools is “significantly and directly related to” a defense article. Thus, by only excluding “general” information that is *commonly* taught in this academic context rather than any information *commonly* taught in this academic context, proposed ITAR § 120.6(b)(iii) fails to follow the holding of *Edler*.

DDTC is already on the public record that it maintains such a narrow construction:

In recent years, some parts of the academic community have expressed concern about the application of government export regulations to disclosures of information in university classrooms. This concern (for example, that the language of the ITAR was overly broad) did not occur because of any changes in the text of the ITAR, or in the policies and practices of the Department of State in administering the regulations. In order to address the concerns expressed about the regulations, however, the language with regard to what information is subject to ITAR controls has been clarified. The Department's long-standing practice of regulating only information that is directly related to defense articles, as reflected in *U.S. v. Edler*, 579 F. 2d 516 (9th Cir. 1978), remains unchanged. *See* 49 Fed. Reg. 47,683 (Dec. 6, 1984).

For all of the reasons discussed above, the CEECR urges DDTC to delete the word “general” from proposed ITAR § 120.6(b)(iii) in accordance with DDTC’s long-standing adherence to only controlling technical data that is significant and directly related to a defense article.

In addition, the CEECR notes that the lack of a definition of the term “directly related” under the ITAR is problematic. As a matter of law, the AECA only provides the legal authority to control defense services (as defined by ITAR § 120.9), software (as defined by ITAR § 120.45), and technical data (as defined by ITAR § 120.10) that are directly related to a defense article. Therefore, defense services, software, or technical data that are not “directly related” to a defense article are not controlled on the USML, and items not controlled on the USML are not subject to the statutory authorities under the AECA. As such, the “directly related” requirement is a material qualifier. The ABA further notes that the only control criteria on software is for software directly related to a defense article, which in the absence of a definition will result in different understandings within government and industry.

Although DDTC is now proposing a definition of “required” under proposed ITAR § 120.46, the CEECR notes that the AECA is limited to only controlling defense services, software and technical data that are “significant and directly related to defense articles” as required by the narrowing construction in *United States v. Edler*. While DDTC is satisfying the first limitation with a definition of “required,” it is not defining the second limitation of what “directly related” means. Further, as proposed, there would be no means to know what constitutes software “directly related” to a defense article.

For all of these reasons, the CEECR recommends that the meaning of “directly related” be defined by DDTC to ensure common understanding within industry and the government as to what constitutes a defense service, technical data, or software that is “directly related” to a defense article.

E. Proposed ITAR § 120.47 and Proposed ITAR § 120.49

The proposed definition of “development” in proposed ITAR § 120.47 and its distinction from “fundamental research” under proposed ITAR § 120.49(c) needlessly restricts research. “Fundamental research” often involves activities included in the proposed definition of “development” such as design research, design analysis, and testing of prototypes to conclude whether a hypothesis being testing is correct. For example, it is often necessary to build some sort of prototype to determine if calculations in engineering and mathematics match a real-world application. Such activities should not be considered “development” since they are simply forms of testing that many research institutions perform. In view of this fact, the CEECR urges that such activities should be stricken from the definition of “development” in proposed ITAR § 120.47.

The definition of “fundamental research” under proposed ITAR § 120.49(c) includes the phrase “this is distinguished from . . . industrial development.” The term “industrial” is not defined, but if it is taken as the definition of “development” in proposed ITAR § 120.47, such interpretation could lead to unintended consequences, such as potentially hampering the advancement of science and technology being made at universities. Also, such interpretation would conflict with proposed ITAR § 120.49(c)(2)(ii)(*Applied Research* definition), which includes the effort that, in part, “attempts to determine and exploit potential scientific discoveries . . .,” because an amount of development is often required to ensure that sound theories and good ideas can be put into practice. As such, the CEECR urges that DDTC strike the word “development” from proposed ITAR § 120.49(c) and expand the definition of “applied research” under proposed ITAR § 120.49(c)(2) to include development within the context of fundamental research that is intended for publication.

X. “Fundamental Research” Under the BIS Proposed Rule

A. Proposed EAR § 734.3(b)(3)(iii)

Proposed EAR § 734.3(b)(3)(iii) states that information and software that “concern general scientific, mathematical, or engineering principles commonly taught in schools . . .” are not subject to the EAR. For the same reasons discussed above under CEECR VII.D, the CEECR

urges that the word “general” be deleted from proposed EAR § 734.3(b)(iii) since that word is not defined and could limit what is covered to only entry-level courses as opposed to a broad range of scientific instruction.

B. Proposed EAR § 734.8(b) – Note 2

Proposed revised EAR § 734.8 concerns technology that arises during, or results from, fundamental research, and excludes certain such technology from the scope of the EAR if certain conditions are met (e.g., intended to be published). As written, Proposed Note 2 to paragraph (b) could cause a requirement to renegotiate many government contracts held with universities and any companies that engage in fundamental research in an attempt to remove the clause lest the status of research as fundamental be challenged, creating unnecessary and undue burdens on researchers.

In contrast, proposed Note 2 to proposed ITAR § 120.49(b) is preferable to Proposed Note 2 to proposed EAR § 734.8(b). Proposed Note 2 to proposed ITAR § 120.49(b) states: “Research that is voluntarily subject to U.S. government prepublication review is considered intended to be published for all releases consistent with any resulting controls.” This is interpreted to mean that prepublication review does not necessarily impede a fundamental research designation.

For all of the reasons discussed above, and to promote consistency between the ITAR and the EAR, the CEECR recommends that the same or similar language to that contained in proposed Note 2 to proposed ITAR § 120.49(b) be used in Proposed Note 2 to proposed EAR § 734.8(b).

C. Proposed EAR § 734.8(c)

Proposed EAR § 734.8 does not explicitly state that software resulting from fundamental research is “not subject to the EAR.” This is in stark contrast to the way in which software is treated under current EAR § 734.8. The CEECR proposes that language should be added to Proposed EAR § 734.8 that explicitly states that software resulting from fundamental research is “not subject to EAR.”

Another key concept from existing EAR § 734.8 also is omitted from proposed EAR § 734.8. Specifically, current EAR § 734.8(b)(1) contains the phrase “research conducted by scientists, engineers, or students at a university normally will be considered fundamental research,” but proposed EAR § 734.8(c) is missing this phrase. The CEECR recommends that this language from current EAR § 734.8(b)(1) be included in proposed EAR § 734.8(c). We believe that this wording should be carried to the proposed rules to make clear what is covered.

XI. Issues Relating to the BIS May 20, 2015 Wassenaar Arrangement Implementation Rule Proposed Rule

A. Timing of Final Rule Implementation

If the effective date for the final rule relating to the Wassenaar Arrangement Implementation Rule is scheduled to be on or shortly after the final rule's publication date, the CEECR believes that there are serious risks that such an abrupt start to the rule will disrupt existing contracts for "cybersecurity items" and will put the parties thereto in immediate non-compliance with the rule. As explained below, the CEECR recommends that BIS establish the effective date of the final rule to be *at least six months* later than the final rule's publication date.

As proposed, the Wassenaar Arrangement Implementation Rule will apply to an unknown and potentially large number of items "not previously designated for export control." In the preamble to the May 20 Proposed Rule, BIS acknowledges that the new *cybersecurity controls* will apply export controls, and impose license requirements, on items not previously controlled by the EAR or items that previously were eligible for License Exception ENC. As BIS explains:

"Although these cybersecurity capabilities⁸ **were not previously designated for export control**, many of these items have been controlled for their 'information security' functionality, including encryption and cryptanalysis."⁹

However, neither the preamble nor the proposed rule itself addresses how BIS will bring the final rule into effect (*i.e.*, whether the publication date of the final rule will be the same as its effective date).

⁸ The "cybersecurity capabilities" refers to preceding sentences where BIS identifies the following as "cybersecurity items":

- "systems, equipment or components specially designed for the generation, operation or delivery of, or communication with, intrusion software";
- "software specially designed or modified for the development or production of such systems, equipment, or components";
- "software specially designed for the generation, operation or delivery of, or communication with, intrusion software";
- "technology required for the development of intrusion software";
- "Internet Protocol (IP) network communications surveillance systems or equipment and test, inspection, production equipment, specially designed components therefor"; and
- "development and production software and technology therefor".

See 80 Fed. Reg. at 28853 (emphases added).

⁹ *See id.*

On May 20, 2015, when BIS issued the Wassenaar Arrangement Implementation Rule, many U.S. firms may have been under contract (and perhaps multiple contracts) to export, reexport or transfer “cybersecurity items” that had not previously been designated for export control. Similarly, universities conducting “fundamental research” and development of technologies for commercialization will probably have had ongoing faculty/student research teams engaged in activities that, under the final rule, may constitute the export, reexport or transfer of “cybersecurity capabilities” not previously designated for export control.

Furthermore, between the proposal date and the final rule’s publication date, additional U.S. persons will probably have entered into such contracts or will soon do so, especially in light of the fact that there has not been extensive media reportage about the proposed rule. Numerous U.S. persons that transact in “cybersecurity items” are probably still unaware of the proposed rule, and even those aware of it may not have been briefed by counsel on the compliance obligations that will arise when the rule is adopted and comes into effect.

If the final rule becomes effective immediately, many “U.S. persons”, as defined in Section 772.1 of the EAR, will be at risk of failing to comply with the final rule when it comes into effect. We think such noncompliance will be the result for several reasons.

First, U.S. persons will have pre-existing contractual obligations to export, reexport or transfer “cybersecurity items” that will be newly designated for export control and subject to license requirements. Many such persons may have little, if any, awareness of the proposed rule and be unaware of the risks that the final rule may pose to their existing and contemplated contracts for “cybersecurity items” or to their internal research and development programs involving “cybersecurity items.”

With respect to contracts for “cybersecurity items” that will not, by their own terms, terminate before the final rule’s effective date (“Subject Contracts”), certain U.S. parties to these Subject Contracts will find themselves in a double-bind on the effective date: immediate compliance with the rule will require them to take actions that may, when taken, put them in material breach of the relevant Subject Contracts.

Parties to Subject Contracts (and their officers and directors) who are unaware of the proposed rule or unaware of the compliance obligations that the final rule will impose on their enterprises and dealings will have had no reason or opportunity to negotiate and structure such contracts in order to avert the double-bind of duties to comply with the final rule and obligations to complete performance of their Subject Contracts.

Few, if any, of the existing Subject Contracts are likely to contain provisions that condition the parties’ export, reexport or transfer obligations on compliance with the final rule. Moreover, the scope and terms of the final rule may differ substantively in crucial details from the proposed rule. As a result, until the final rule is published by BIS, the officers and directors of such enterprises engaged in Subject Contracts will have no reliable knowledge of the final rule’s scope and terms. Without knowledge of the precise scope and terms of the final rule, it is not practicable for parties to Subject Contracts to negotiate provisions to address that rule. For

the same reasons, counsel cannot competently advise clients on ways to address the yet-to-be disclosed version of the final rule in a Subject Contract.

Boilerplate provisions in commercial contracts might mitigate some of the transactional risks, but will probably not adequately address them or control them within the limits of a corporate client's tolerance of risks. A typical boilerplate provision that obligates all parties to a contract to "comply with all applicable U.S. export control laws and regulations", if included in a Subject Contract, would probably not avert the risks posed by the final rule coming into effect on or very soon after its date of publication by BIS. Similarly, a typical *force majeure* or event of excusable delay clause will not sufficiently reduce such risks, particularly in states (such as New York) whose courts tend to construe *force majeure* clauses narrowly.

Second, the final rule will impose broad licensing obligations on the export, reexport or transfer of "cybersecurity items" that were previously designated as EAR 99 or eligible for License Exception ENC. As a result, and because there are no license exceptions for intracompany transfers, end users or end uses, or deemed exports,¹⁰ many U.S. companies and research organizations will be required to obtain licenses to be in compliance with the final rule as of its effective date. However, prior to the publication of the final rule, it will not be possible for U.S. persons affected by the rule to identify with certainty all the instances in which a license will be required.

Moreover, once the final rule is published, U.S. persons who engage in exports of "cybersecurity items" will need to spend considerable time and resources to identify situations in which licenses are required as well as prepare, submit and receive such licenses. In order to obtain the necessary licenses, there must be ample time between the publication of the final rule and its effective date to allow U.S. persons to assess the need for, apply for and receive the licenses required under the final rule. For companies that engage in exports of, or that design and develop "cybersecurity items" (and whose engineering staff may include foreign nationals), there may be a need to apply for and obtain multiple licenses. Without sufficient time to do so after the final rule is published, such companies will be unable to comply with applicable license requirements without bringing certain aspects of their business organization to a halt. This, of course, could disrupt contractual relationships and impose financial hardship, especially on small businesses.

As discussed above, the less time there is between the publication of the final rule and its effective date, the greater will be the risk that U.S. persons affected by the final rule will be abruptly and detrimentally confronted by their duties to comply with the final rule and their commitments to complete existing contractual obligations or ongoing research programs.

The CEECR respectfully recommends that BIS establish the effective date of the final rule to be *at least six months* later than the final rule's publication date.

¹⁰ See BIS's FAQs on Intrusion and Surveillance Items posted by BIS at <http://www.bis.doc.gov/index.php/policy-guidance/faqs>.

A minimum of a six-month interval between publication and effective date is necessary in order for there to be sufficient time to come into compliance with the final rule. During this interval, we expect the following activities to occur:

1. U.S. persons impacted by the rule will be briefed by counsel on the scope, terms, and significance of the final rule;
2. Counsel and compliance officers will advise clients on compliance duties under the final rule, the risks of non-compliance, and the appropriate changes to export compliance programs, including training, an activity that will require significant time due to the proposed rule's complexity;
3. U.S. persons impacted by the rule will review existing and contemplated Subject Contracts to identify which existing contractual obligations may conflict with compliance obligations under the final rule and take appropriate actions, such as negotiating and executing amendments to existing Subject Contracts to avert the risk of non-compliance with the final rule while, at the same time, fulfilling contractual obligations; and
4. U.S. persons impacted by the rule will survey their business or research operations to identify the need for licenses and, if needed, will prepare, submit and wait to receive such licenses.

The CEECR believes that a six-month delay, at a minimum, between the publication date of the final rule and its effective date is necessary for U.S. persons affected by the rule to comply with their obligations under the final rule without undue hardship and the risk of substantial disruption to their business and research operations.

B. Obligations Prior to the Effective Date

The CEECR believes that companies and their counsel will be concerned about the obligations that U.S. persons may have for “cybersecurity items” that they exported, reexported, or transferred prior to the effective date of the final rule.

In particular, they will need to know the legal status of “cybersecurity items” not previously designated for export control that foreign nationals received or gained access to before adoption of the final rule. Similarly, they will need to know whether pre-rule “deemed exports” of such “cybersecurity items” trigger any obligations by the exporter to recapture or recover such items from the foreign national recipients.

The CEECR recommends that BIS consider issuing guidance (perhaps in the form of additional FAQs) that would address the status of pre-rule exported “cybersecurity items” and the compliance duties of exporters and recipients of such items – where such items have not previously been designated for export control.

C. Exporter's Knowledge

In the preamble to the May 20 Proposed Rule, it states that the “EAR also prohibits the export of equipment if the exporter intends it will be combined with other equipment to comprise a system described in the new entry.” 80 Fed. Reg. at 28854 (emphasis added). While this statement suggests that only the exporter’s intent matters, elsewhere in the proposed rule, it indicates that violations also can result from what the exporter knows that the recipient intends to do with the item. For example, in the proposed text for revisions to ECCN 5A001 (at 80 Fed. Reg. 28661), the language reads:

“[S]uch equipment may not be sold separately **with knowledge** that it will be combined with other equipment to comprise a system described in the new paragraph.” (Emphasis added.)

This language makes clear that the exporter’s “knowledge” is the key factor.

It is the CEECR’s belief that emphasis on an exporter’s knowledge” is consistent with an exporter’s duty to determine if the export recipient or end-user intends to make prohibited or unlicensed use of the controlled item and should be emphasized by BIS. Accordingly, assuming that this view of the CEECR is accurate, the CEECR recommends that BIS clarify (in the preamble to any final rule that is issued) that an exporter’s knowledge is critical to determining whether a violation may or may not have occurred if an export recipient or end-user combines an item with other equipment to comprise a new controlled system.

D. Proposed EAR § 742.6(b)(5)

Proposed EAR 742.6(b)(5) defines “foreign commercial partner” to mean:

a foreign-based non-governmental end-user that has a **business need** to **share** the proprietary information of the U.S. company and is **contractually bound** to the U.S. company (*e.g.*, has an established pattern of continuing or recurring contractual relations).

80 Fed. Reg. 28858 (emphasis added). As discussed below, the CEECR is concerned that each of the three underlined terms in the above definition could encourage export practices that are not intended by BIS and that would be contrary to the objectives of the EAR.

The term “**business need**” is not defined in the May 20 Proposed Rule or elsewhere in the EAR. Every activity of a business can be characterized as a “business need” when its owners or operators perceive an apparent benefit to doing so. In fact, there is little that a company cannot characterize as a “business need” if doing so will benefit the company. As a result, the term “business need” may be interpreted by exporters and export recipients so expansively as to render it applicable to almost any activity of a business. Such interpretations could easily reduce to a meaningless and thus irrelevant term an otherwise important requirement for an export recipient to qualify as a “foreign business partner.” Exporters would be encouraged to accept any claim of a “business need” by the prospective end-user.

There appears to be an error in using the verb “**to share**” as the operative term in the requirement that an end-user have “a business need to “**share**” the proprietary information of the U.S. company.” As used in that context, “**share**” conveys the sense that the end-user must have a business need to disclose the exporter’s proprietary information *to third parties*. That meaning, of course, misdirects the criteria from what we think BIS intended, namely that the end-user represent (and the exporter verify) that the end-user has a genuine business need that will be served if the exporter will be permitted (by an export license) to *disclose the U.S. company’s proprietary data* to the end-user. Unless corrected, such error will confuse exporters and may cause BIS to reject applications for licenses that fail to meet the criteria that BIS intends to establish.

The criteria for an end-user to qualify as a “foreign business partner” include the additional prong or requirement that the end-user “be **contractually bound** to the U.S. company.” However, there is nothing in the words – or in the context of the definition – that delimits what kind of contractual relationship will qualify as necessary and sufficient to meet the requirement.

There is also no suggestion that the relevant contract(s) must relate to the proposed export of “cybersecurity items” that is the subject of the exporter’s license application. Experienced counsel can reasonably infer that BIS intends there to be a relationship between the required exporter/end-user contract and the proposed export of “cybersecurity items”. However, in a definition of this importance the objectives of the proposed rule would be far better served if exporters were not left to guess at the meaning of the requirement that the end-user be “contractually bound to the U.S. company” nor that their legal counsel be constrained to infer such meaning without reliable guidance from the text of the rule, other provisions in the EAR, or interpretations issued by BIS in the published rule or the relevant FAQs.

BIS appears to have foreseen the need for clarification of the phrase “contractually bound to the U.S. company” as evidenced by BIS’s insertion of an elucidating example in the parenthetical phrase that ends the definition:

“(e.g., has an **established pattern of continuing or recurring** contractual relations).”

However, in the vernacular of commercial or corporate transactions (and in the legal jargon applied to them), parties seldom, if ever, refer in contracts, agreements, or correspondence to an intention to “establish a pattern of continuing or recurring contractual relations”. Thus, there is no familiar use of that phrase or a context in which it can be set that would make it susceptible of a reliable interpretation.¹¹ Moreover, whatever is meant by a “pattern . . . of

¹¹ Moreover, the term “pattern” when it serves as an operable term in laws tends to appear in litigation contexts (e.g., “fact pattern”) and in criminal law contexts (e.g., in the definition of a RICO claim where a plaintiff or prosecutor must, among things, prove a “*pattern* of racketeering”). [Continued on next page]

contractual relations” fails to illuminate the criteria that must be met to qualify the parties as “contractually bound.”

Moreover, we think that the parenthetical introduces an unintended ambiguity: the example of “contractually bound” that it gives refers to multiple contracts (“**recurring contractual relations**”) and, in the alternative, to seemingly multi-year contracts that precede the submittal of the license application and that will extend for some indefinite period, possibly beyond the proposed export transaction (“**continuing contractual relations**”). In either event, the requirement ends with the word “relations” in the plural.

As a result, it is unclear whether BIS intends the example to be a limiting illustration – thereby requiring evidence that the exporter and end-user are “bound” or engaged in multiple contracts (whether “recurring” or “continuing”) -- or whether BIS intends instead that the parenthetical not be a limiting example and that even one contract between the exporter and end-user will suffice. The ambiguity has an additional layer: it is unclear whether “contractually bound” requires that the proposed export be the subject of or covered by such contract(s). The members of the CEECR could not reach consensus on how to interpret the parenthetical example, which seems to suggest that the example is indeed ambiguous and that it is open to quite divergent, and possibly irreconcilable, interpretations.

In order to address the potential problems discussed above, the CEECR recommends that BIS revise the term “foreign business partner” by using the following language for the note to EAR § 742.6(b)(5):

“Note to paragraph (b)(5): A ‘foreign business counter-party’¹² means a foreign based non-governmental end-user that has entered into, or proposes in writing to enter into, one or more contracts with a U.S. company and who takes appropriate actions to safeguard “cybersecurity items” to prevent the unauthorized or unlicensed reexport or transfer of such information (and include in such safeguards sufficient cybersecurity measures to prevent intrusions and exfiltration by insiders and outsiders).”

[Continued from Footnote 11 on page 31] Such usages are unhelpful aids to interpreting the meaning of the proposed rule’s phrase “an established pattern of continuing or recurring contractual relations”.

¹² We note that the term “partner” denotes a legal relationship that most commercial and corporate transactions do not create and that use of the term “partner” (which can denote “partnership” or denote “counterparty”) will not improve the export control of “cybersecurity items.” For this reason, we recommend that BIS replace the term “partner” with “counter-party”, which would suggest a contractual relationship and allow for the definition to delimit its meaning.

E. Licensing Policy for “Cybersecurity Items”

Under the proposed licensing policy set forth under the May 20 Proposed Rule, an application for export license would be “reviewed favorably” when the relevant export is destined for a U.S. company’s subsidiary located in a Country Group A:5 country such as South Korea.

The CEECR is concerned by the distinction that the proposed licensing policy attempts to draw between U.S. company subsidiaries located in a Country Group A:5 country and companies located in the same country but owned instead by nationals of that Country Group A:5 country. The distinction appears to treat license applications differently where there may not be, in fact, a significant or sufficient difference to warrant not viewing favorably the application for export to a company located in and owned by nationals of the Country Group A:5 country.

We are also concerned by the distinction that the proposed licensing policy attempts to draw between “foreign commercial partners” located in a Country Group A:5 country and a company located in and owned by nationals of the same Country Group A:5 country.

If BIS does not modify the “foreign commercial partner” category, then the policy would draw a distinction that would not necessarily serve the aims of the proposed rule. The policy would discriminate in favor of, for example, South Korean companies that manage to enter into multiple contracts with a U.S. exporter and to discriminate against South Korean companies that are seeking for the first time to be end-user recipients or seeking to enter into a commercial contract or corporate transaction for the first time with a particular U.S. exporter. Note the commercially disadvantageous consequences of a licensing policy that draws such distinction:

- A highly reliable South Korean company (with a demonstrable record of respecting and complying with U.S. export controls in multiple contracts with several different U.S. companies) is the identified end-user in a license application submitted by an exporter who has not previously transacted with the South Korean company. Such an application would not qualify to be “reviewed favorably”, even though the proposed end-user might be far more reliable an end-user (as measured by its export compliance policies, practices, and record) than a South Korean company that happens to have restricted its multiple transactions to one U.S. exporter (and thus might qualify as a “foreign commercial partner”).
- A prospective joint venture or merger or acquisition between a U.S. company and a South Korean company would involve proposed exports or transfers of “cybersecurity items” from the U.S. company to the South Korean party to the venture or corporate transaction. The parties may not have previously engaged in commercial transactions involving licensed exports. However, the South Korean company may have all of the qualifications mentioned in the preceding bullet point.

We think in both of the above-described examples the proposed licensing policy would create unnecessary obstacles to cross-border commercial and corporate transactions that the U.S. government presumably wants to encourage. Such costly hindrances could be averted by a tightly focused revision to the licensing policy.

In order to address such potential problems, the CEECR respectfully recommends that BIS adopt the following revision to the proposed licensing policy for “cybersecurity items:”

- To the categories of license applications that would be “reviewed favorably”, add a new category that would cover proposed exports of “cybersecurity items” to qualified trustworthy end-users located in Country Group A:5 countries (or a subset of such countries with whose companies it is U.S. policy to encourage transactions).
- The recommended new category would be defined as set forth in the bold text in the following excerpt of BIS’ proposed description of its licensing policy:

*“Applications for exports, reexports and transfers for cybersecurity items ... controlled for RS will be reviewed favorably if destined to ... ‘foreign commercial partners’ located in Country Group A:5, **demonstrably qualified end-users located in Country Group A:5, . . .**”*

- Add a note, immediately after the proposed *Note to paragraph (b)(5)*, which would state:

“Additional Note to paragraph (b)(5): A ‘demonstrably qualified end-user’ means a nongovernmental end-user, based in a Country Group A:5 country, that meets the following criteria: the end-user must either (i) have a record of compliance with U.S. export control laws and regulations or (ii) have provided the applicant with evidence that it has adopted and implemented cybersecurity and export compliance plans reasonably designed to avert unauthorized or unlicensed reexports or transfers (in country).”

- The note should, of course, include a comparable requirement contained at the end of the existing note to paragraph (b)(5), namely the requirement for an explanatory letter that explains:

“how the end-user meets the criteria of a ‘demonstrably qualified end-user’ located in a Country Group A:5 state and how the end-user will safeguard the items from unauthorized transfers (in-country) and reexports.”

This recommendation to add a category for license applications for exports destined to “qualified end-users in a Country Group A:5 country” would, of perforce, provide that such applications are subject to the same precautions that the proposed policy applies to applications for exports destined to “foreign business partners”: a case-by-case review to determine if the transaction “is contrary to the national security or foreign policy interests of the United States”; a “focused case-by-case review for reasons of Encryption Items (EI) control” if any “information security” functionality is incorporated in the cybersecurity item that is the subject of the license application; and, a presumptive denial if such items “have or support rootkit or zero-day exploit capabilities.”

F. Proposed EAR § 748.8(z)(1)(iii)(C)

Proposed EAR § 748.8(z)(1)(iii)(C) sets forth a requirement for an applicant's explanatory letter when the "cybersecurity items" for which an export license is applied have "not been previously classified or included in a license application . . ."¹³ In that context, it is clearly important to the export control of intrusion technologies that BIS be informed by the applicant when the items proposed for export incorporate the highly sensitive technologies of "rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality." However, when the items for which an export license is applied merely "relate" to "intrusion software" (which itself is *not controlled* by the proposed rule¹⁴), the license applicant should not be required to "**describe** how rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality is **precluded** from the item."

The problem with the proposed requirement rests in its asking applicants to generate descriptions of "zero-day exploit functionalities" that will often be impracticable to substantiate or will compel applicants to make exhaustive efforts to discover. Furthermore, for a license applicant to describe how rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality is *precluded* from its items or services will often prove to be beyond the applicant's ability to ascertain.

The term "**preclude**" suggests that applicants must make a potential outcome impossible or prevent it from happening. That is a task that engineers often pursue when designing safety features into a technology or system. We think, however, that in the context of "zero-day exploit functionality" in a technology or system that may contain millions of lines of software code the proposed requirement asks a company and its engineers to perform a task that will in all likelihood be extravagantly expensive to complete and thus economically beyond their reach. It will probably also be beyond their ability to ensure that their software code will not produce certain outcomes or features. It is well known that in designing software, the control of desired outcomes is usually achievable, whereas the control or avoidance of undesired outcomes is usually impossible to achieve.

We note that "zero day" vulnerabilities is a term that the proposed rule and the EAR do not define. We take the term to refer to vulnerabilities that are unknown to the designer or producer of a particular item. What makes "zero-day" vulnerabilities so sensitive is that the designer or producer of the item remains unaware of their existence, despite its best efforts to review and test the item for "zero-day" vulnerabilities.

As a result, if a potential attacker discovers such vulnerabilities, it can conduct exploits (often stealthily) against a defenseless target. Moreover, it is generally considered economically unjustifiable for a designer or producer of an item to discover all "zero-day" vulnerabilities in the item because that would entail every line of code be tested alone and in all combinations with other lines of code contained in the item. In fact, the prodigious size and complexity of contemporary software *precludes* discovery of every latent "zero-day" vulnerability in the code.

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ See BIS FAQs, No. 7, which states, in pertinent part: "Exploits that meet the definition of 'intrusion software' are not controlled."

“Zero-day” vulnerabilities have thus become the unknown feature in “cybersecurity items” that engineers know exists, but lamentably cannot ferret out.

Since many “zero-day” vulnerabilities are inherently undiscoverable by the designer or producer of an item, we think it impracticable and unwise to require a license applicant to “**describe** how rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality is **precluded** from the item.” It makes little sense to attempt to describe the preclusion or avoidance of vulnerabilities that the applicant has not discovered, may be financially incapable of discovering, and thus cannot develop ways of precluding.

In short, unless modified, the requirement will put applicants to the task of describing how their item precludes the very “zero-day” vulnerabilities they do not know of. We recognize that designers and producers increasingly assume that creating products without such vulnerabilities is beyond the current capabilities of virtually all designers and producers. However, knowing that as yet undiscovered “zero-day” vulnerabilities are an inherent feature of an item does not give the designer or producer the knowledge needed to “describe” how any associated “zero-day exploit functionality is precluded from the item.”

If the intent of the proposed requirement is more limited and seeks only to require that applicants describe how the design of their item prevents it from being used to exploit a “zero-day” vulnerability, the requirement as phrased does not make clear that limited scope. Moreover, even if so limited, much the same objection applies to the requirement: even items that do not contain “zero-day” vulnerabilities can be combined with other items to produce an intrusion technology and the designers of such items may not have been aware of such potential uses. Thus to require a designer or producer to describe potential uses it does not know of and to explain how it avoids them would appear to ask them to perform a futile and burdensome task.

In order to address the deficiencies discussed above, the CEECR recommends that BIS:

- Delete the requirement that an applicant “**describe** how rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality is **precluded** from the item”; and
- Replace it with the following requirement:


“(C) For items related to ‘intrusion software’ provide a certification, signed by an officer of the applicant, authorized to certify on behalf of the applicant, that after a diligent inquiry, as evidenced by end-user certifications, the applicant does not know of any rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality contained in the item and does not know of any intention by the proposed end-user to combine the item with any other items to create a rootkit or zero-day exploit functionality.”

By thus providing for an appropriately focused certification, the requirement would only necessitate that an applicant to perform a feasible and practicable set of inquiries.

XII. Conclusion

Your consideration of our comments is greatly appreciated. If you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Geoffrey Goodale by telephone at (703) 618-6640 or by e-mail at ggoodale@tradelawadvisors.com.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Geoffrey M. Goodale".

Geoffrey M. Goodale

The Ad Hoc Coalition for Effective Export Control Reform

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcj-joe Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7828

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Micah Rentschler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Micah Rentschler

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcny4wj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7829

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Danter

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-4viw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7830

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christina MacKenzie

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you or I like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Christina MacKenzie

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcN-13x6
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7831

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenn Bohmann

Address:

43075 Joy Lane

Hollywood, MD, 20636

Email: glenn.bohmann@verizon.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn E. Bohmann

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-3m8j
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7832

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Abbott Morgan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-f4uj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7833

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Gibbs

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Richard Gibbs

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-sz4g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7835

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Mccloud

Address:

Po box 494

White mills, 18473

Email: Sirbruce2000@msn.com

Phone: 5702536753

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bruce Mccloud

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-bddz
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7836

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Virginia Lanier

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-m0em Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7837

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ralph peterson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-nw3y
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7838

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Lanier

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-m9n2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7839

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-8nx2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7840

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Erik Garcia

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Erik Garcia

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-fq6g Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7843

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Vin Soar

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-dcxp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7844

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-3s2h Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7845

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Cable

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-ifdt
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7846

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cotter Sayre

Address:

22 COUNTY ROAD 109

CORINTH, MS, 38834

Email: cotter.sayre@gmail.com

Phone: 4083937040

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

-Cotter W. Sayre
Corinth, MS

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-juvo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7847

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tracy Spencer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Tracy Spencer

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-343c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7848

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Jerry W. Bowman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-kva3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7849

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Perry Hecker

Address:

8408 Sunset Dr.

Manassas, 20110-3816

Email: heckerpe@msn.com

Phone: 703-369-4281

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Perry E. Hecker

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-txwj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7850

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dustin Thatcher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Dustin Thatcher

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-v0u6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7853

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Millard

Address:

4510 E. Camino Arista
Tucson, AZ, 85739

Email: superdavemillard@hotmail.com

Phone: 520 818 6563

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, David F. Millard

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-wqgs Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7856

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-og0s Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7857

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Yospur

Address:

PO Box 452

Sonoita, AZ, 85637

Email: ryospur@gmail.com

Phone: 520-455-5216

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ronald Yospur

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-634n
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7859

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Williams

Address:

PO Box 840515

Houston, TX, 77284

Email: scwill@flash.net

Phone: 2814606994

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Steve Williams

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-4132
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7861

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Denny Stouffer

Address:

23506 Ringgold Pike
Smithsburg, MD, 21783

Email: denny@stouffersauctionco.com

Phone: 3017916896

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

You guys must be 'NUTS'! We live in AMERICA.

Sincerely,
Denny Stouffer

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-ujve
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7862

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Demetrios Papadopoulos

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Demetrios Papadopoulos

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-rtjg
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7863

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John D'Onofrio

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John D'Onofrio

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-edro Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7864

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Walborn

Address:

33005 Camas Swale Rd.

Creswell, OR, 97426

Email: wayne4wfp@yahoo.com

Phone: 5419148917

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Wayne Walborn

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-9ixw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7865

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Clark

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Richard Clark

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-22xv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7866

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Pyle

Address:

1325 Atlas St.

Apt. 308

Rapid City, SD, 57701

Email: rnaple@gmail.com

Phone: 605-431-8925

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Ronald A Pyle

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-1t63
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7867

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Burke

Address:

8830 Three Chopt Rd.

Apt. L

Richmond, VA, 23229

Email: skyking812@gmail.com

Phone: 804-433-8946

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

And since when does the State Department have jurisdiction over protected free speech in the United

States of America? This is preposterous. How can sharing ballistics data and other technical information be deemed a violation of the law? Prior restraint on free speech - in AMERICA? Outrageous!

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-i2cp Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7870

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roberto Rodriguez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-n2l3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7871

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Farris

Address:

1709 Chessington Ln
McKinney, TN, 75070

Email: larrysfarris@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Larry S. Farris
McKinney, TX

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-xa6t
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7872

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Troy Thacker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-6t6s
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7873

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Cron

General Comment

To the asshole it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert A Cron

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-qgkg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7875

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Bates

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Charles E. Bates

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-n5uw
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7877

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Frisbie

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James Frisbie

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-c3po
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7878

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nicholas Harvey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully,

N.P. Harvey

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-hox0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7880

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ryan Yelle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ryan Yelle

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-l3b6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7881

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-newj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7883

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you.

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-c77v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7884

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Earl Bates

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Earl Bates

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-dvac Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7885

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Buettner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
A J Buettner

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-imnr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7886

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tracy Simonds

Address:

346 strong hollow ln

Rockytop, TN, 37716

Email: iceetrey@yahoo.com

Phone: 8653063829

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Rev.Tracy Simonds

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-sihj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7887

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Vaccaro

Address:

17308 Teklenika Dr

Eagle River, AK, 99577

Email: vaqueros1876@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Joel Vaccaro

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-nbm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7888

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Gubanic

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John H Gubanic

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcen-faj2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7890

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: charles w

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
charles w

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-s2u3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7891

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Pinkston

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Jon Pinkston

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-5clj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7892

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg L Hackney

Address:

331 Shark Ln
Surfside Beach
Freeport, TX, 77541

Email: txchl2@gmail.com

Phone: 9798714058

General Comment

concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-b2si Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7893

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Wieslander

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-apza
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7895

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Margaret Walker

Address:

2620 Calliope Way
Raleigh, NC, 27616

Email: margaret.walker28@gmail.com

Phone: 2152624170

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Margaret Walker

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-r4lv
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7899

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Donald Tucker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcw-wirr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7900

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: bruce emmons

Address:

1552 view pl
buckley, WA, 98321

Email: emmons7bm@yahoo.com

Phone: 2537364404

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, bruce emmons

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-1p41
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7901

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Rice

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-yk5h
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7904

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Calhoun

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-vvyu Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7905

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Schroeder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gerald Schroeder

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-r45f
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7907

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carl Bussjaeger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Carl Bussjaeger

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-jd8l
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7908

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Current

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Paul Current

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kc-88n3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7909

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-9onx Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7910

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Averill

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-v5m3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7912

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Calkin

Address:

P.O. Box 421

Greenville, VA, 24440

Email: johndogwood53@comcast.net

Phone: 540-337-4571

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I can't believe a sitting president of our country would advocate any sort of censorship wherever Americans might wish to correspond. This is a vile threat to the First Amendment as well as a blow aimed at the Second Amendment. Our devious President should be ashamed of himself.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
John Calkin

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcni8g4
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7913

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Waker

Address:

2620 Calliope Way
Raleigh, NC, 27616

Phone: 12154538680

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Robert Walker

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcn-o3k0
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7914

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Hoff

Address:

2753 Borkshire Lane

Aurora, IL, 60502-4427

Email: rob@hoffsoft.com

Phone: 6304304991

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Sincerely,
Robert Hoff

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8ken-roxz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7915

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcn-49y8
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7916

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Charles Wright

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-fnj9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7919

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jan Romanoff

Address:

10371 Miralago Place
Cowan Heights, CA, 92705-2559

Email: jdromanoff@cox.net

Phone: (714) 832-8678

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jan D. Romanoff

2015 - Gun Owners of America

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-8b5v Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7920

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Betzold

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Jonathan Betzold

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-2wl6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7921

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allen Godin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-y86o Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7923

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the government wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the government as requiring, not only their permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
An American Citizen

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-oq0c
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7924

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Warren Twining

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Warren Twining

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-iw0a Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7925

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: donny bowman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely Donny Bowman

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-z0i5
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7926

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Yeary

Address:

83 Brandon Lane
Crimora, VA, 24431

Email: m_yeary@yahoo.com

Phone: 5404483594

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Michael D Yeary

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcm-2v2d
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7928

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Errol Thomason

Address:

651 N Soboba St
Hemet, CA, 92544

Email: errol.thomason@yahoo.com

Phone: 9510275966

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-lu2r
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7929

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
James Jacobelli

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-rga3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7931

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dean Pranger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-ruk1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7932

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-z4di
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7934

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thom Kelly

Address:

39466

General Comment

A rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The State Department is updating the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) and has amended the existing regs to include making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet).

This restriction on Internet speech is wholly new language and puts anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison (up to 20 years) and massive fines (up to \$1 million).

The language is so broad that it could potentially include virtually any gun-related communication of a functional how to nature.

The language includes (1) non-automatic and semi-automatic firearms up to .50 caliber; (2) automatics up to .50 caliber; (3) other firearms of special military application; (4) shotguns with a barrel length less than 18 inches; and (5) components, parts, and ammunition for all of these.

In other words, electronically posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

And again, violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.
Revised definitions could license (a.k.a., ban) 3-D printers

Another threat to gun rights is found in the revised definition of defense article, which has been redefined to be any item, software, or technical data....

So posting certain gun-related software to the Internet would first require a license -- and a huge license fee. While the term software is new, the State Department contends that this is a mere tweaking and represents no substantive change.

But if theres one unbreakable rule in politics, its that, when drafters take the time and effort to add a provision to the underlying text, it is never, never, ever without reason.

And if theres a second unbreakable rule, its that the drafters will inexorably lie and say theyre only codifying current law.

What software should we be concerned about? Well, to start with, 3-D printer programming almost certainly falls within this category and these regs seems to be an effort to outlaw this technology by executive fiat.

San Antonio gun author Nick Leghorn, who writes for The Truth About Guns, predicts an end to Encryption programs like TrueCrypt as well as 3D models of firearms....

There is much more thats involved with these regulations, and you can read about it in greater detail here.

ACTION: You can submit comments to the State Department in opposition to the proposed arms control regulations by going here. Simply cut-n-paste the text below into the online comment box. And if you wish to read the State Department regulations, you can do so here.

----- Pre-written letter to the Department of State -----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Thom Kelly

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Category: NA Tracking No. ljz-8kcm-nwgr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7935

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sean Robinson

General Comment

Dear Sir or Madam,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Sean Robinson
Nanuet, NY

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-721g
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7936

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Grasek

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-jbr2
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7937

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Grant Pearson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Grant Pearson

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-uo2b Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and
Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7938

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Rogers

Address:

126 Rainbow Drive #2653

Livingston, TX, 77399

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-1804
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7939

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Woodward

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-88e7
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7940

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scot Medford

Address:

3496 Amber Lane

Green Bay, WI, 54311

Email: medfordscot@hotmail.com

Phone: 9206326498

General Comment

Sir or Ma'am-

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Scot Medford

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-z6ip Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7941

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Bowden

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the government a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)

-- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the government as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-p1kw Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7943

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-ssmo Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7944

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. ljz-8kcm-w9pb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7946

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Swift

Address:

1643 Browndeer Avenue
Arkdale, WI, 54613

Email: wswift83@frontier.com

Phone: 6085642047

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-902x Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7947

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-yr4p
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7949

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Rinaldi

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Paul J Rinaldi

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-pd2l Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7950

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-pjm3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7951

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-7rrr
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7952

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Gerjets

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Richard Gerjets

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-j6mg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7953

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ernest Di Francesco

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ernest Di Francesco

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-qqap Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7954

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark B. Gampl

Address:

116 N. Ridge rd.

McHenry, IL, 60050

Email: markg19@dls.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Mark B. Gampl

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-25hg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7955

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Guaglianone

Address:

97786 Hanscam lane

POB 2421

Harbor, OR, 97415

Email: westguag1@charter.net

Phone: 541-813-1301

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Gerald Guaglianone

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-370g Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7956

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris George

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. ljz-8kcm-plrh
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7958

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Stephens

Address:

3470 E. 2nd St. # 6

Tucson, 85716-4337

Email: mike-stephens@cox.net

Phone: 520-203-5824

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mike Stephens

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-au09 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7959

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Sam Jones

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-rxyj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7960

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bart Hollis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-ihw3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7961

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Anonymous

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-9urk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7962

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Connie Gilbert

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Todd and Connie Gilbert

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-ju26
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7963

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Darcy Sheaffer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-fils
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7964

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Kevin L. McCroan

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-duyz Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7966

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Kraynak

Address:

3020 30Th Ave Se

Puyallup, WA, 98374

Email: jrkraynak@comcast.net

Phone: 253-848-5708

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
john Kraynak

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-pq2o
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7967

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Compas

Address:

10450 6 Mile Road Lot135

Battle Creek, MI, 49014

Email: rhc2000@hotmail.com

Phone: 269-979-9181

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Roger Compas

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-2vcc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7968

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-ih06
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7969

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kyle Hawkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Kyle Hawkins

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-mag3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7973

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Graham

General Comment

RTo whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State
Departments arms control regulations (ITAR),
which could potentially grant the State
Department a wide-ranging power to monitor
and control gun-related speech on the
Internet.

The new language -- which includes making
technical data available via a publicly
available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could
put anyone who violates this provision in
danger of facing decades in prison and
massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any
firearm or ammunition could be defined by the
Obama administration as requiring, not only
government permission, but potentially a
government license. This means violators
would potentially face significant criminal

penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,
Kevin Graham

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-mgnu
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7975

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Avellino

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joseph Avellino

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-cm72 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7976

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Flint Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-lmfl
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7977

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dale Parker

General Comment

whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015
Received: August 03, 2015
Status: Posted
Posted: August 04, 2015
Category: NA
Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-i9vc
Comments Due: August 03, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7978

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark P.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Further, I see a disruption in technical/warranty service questions between customers and manufacturers which could jeopardize interstate trade, or even prevent health & safety product warnings which will cause pursuance of legal remedies.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: August 03, 2015 Status: Posted Posted: August 04, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8kcm-wuj7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain;
Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data;
and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-7980

Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Baker

Address:

1030 Teller Avenue Suite #49

Grand Junction, CO, 81501

Email: kjbaker0120@gmail.com

Phone: 9705491837

General Comment

To whom it may concern,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.